


Spearhead

£1.00


No. 331 SEPTEMBER 1996

ISSN 1364-7105

**Race-
mixing
and queer
'marriages'**



**When it's
'moral' to
accept
these, who
is the
sinner?**



SEE PAGE 6

WHAT WE THINK

Olympic no-hopers

There can only be one verdict on the performance of Britain's sportsmen and sportswomen in the recent Olympic Games in Atlanta — pathetic!

After every Olympics within memory *Spearhead* has commented none too enthusiastically on the results achieved by our athletes, for on no such occasions have they won the numbers of medals that might have been expected of a nation of Britain's standing and size. But this time we really hit rock-bottom. The tally was one gold, eight silvers and six bronzes. Let us compare these results with those of France and Italy, two nations with almost identical sizes of population. The French brought home 15 golds, seven silvers and 14 bronzes, the Italians 13 golds, nine silvers and 12 bronzes. Even much smaller Romania, Hungary, Greece, Cuba, Holland and Switzerland did better than we did.

As always in circumstances of defeat and demoralisation, scapegoats are sought. Before the British debacle was complete, the government was heard announcing that it was calling a meeting of heads of the British Olympic Association to demand explanations of our poor showing. In reply, Dick Palmer, the association's

Nationalist Comment

general secretary, made a statement that sport in this country received wholly inadequate funding and that, as a result, our athletes were just amateurs competing against professionals. Illustrating his point were some statistics printed in the sports section of the *Sunday Express* of the 4th August, which showed that money spent annually on Olympic preparation was: United States £68.96 million; France £28.55 million; Italy £27.67 million; Germany £25.16 million and Britain £2.5 million!

Prime Minister Major, in the meantime, was reported as proudly announcing a £100 million government grant for the setting up of sports academies aimed at achieving "sporting excellence" and affirming a yearly figure of £300 million of National Lottery money to help bring about a general improvement of sports facilities everywhere.

This prompted a contemptuous comment from Richard Littlejohn in the *Daily Mail* (July 25th), saying of the Premier:-

"Once again he substitutes gimmickry for leadership.

"Any more money pumped into sport is welcome. But the real problem is the devaluation of sport in schools despite 17 years of so-called Conservative Government.

"If he really wants to create a nation of Olympic champions and World Cup winners, he should order the immediate and compulsory reinstatement of competitive games in schools from the age of five. He could tell his ministers to prevent venal Labour authorities selling off playing fields to pay for debts incurred promoting sexual deviancy and nuclear-free zones...

"Major could do any number of things to revive sport at grass roots level. But he won't.

"This is a Prime Minister who, when he goes in to bat for Britain, surrenders his wicket in the first over."

Equally withering comment was provided by Ian Wooldridge, also in the *Daily Mail* a few days later (August 1st). Wooldridge said that

carpeting Olympic officials was like flogging the office boy for a company's collapse. He spoke of the lazy-minded, flabby culture that had been allowed to grow up among Britain's young, with its discouragement of competition and vigorous exercise. We have become, he said, "a soft, underbelly nation, bereft of the will to fight." Britain, he said...

"... has more graffiti artists, beggars, muggers, thieves and layabouts than potential Olympic competitors, and it is that problem which should be addressed next week rather than why Competitor X failed to reach even the quarter-finals."

Symptomatic of the same state of affairs are recent findings by the Army that an increasing portion of would-be recruits these days are totally incapable of meeting the required physical standards. In a report issued in June, Army chiefs spoke of "unfit mummy's boys" and "couch potatoes who grow lethargic watching videos." Of 21,000 volunteers last year, only 57 per cent were acceptable, while of the others 16 per cent failed because they were not fit enough. Lt. Col. Richard Quirke, commanding officer of the training regiment at Winchester, said that the background and lifestyle of young people today gave them the worst possible start in Army life, and he spoke of them being "brought up with a lack of competitive sport and with poor diet..." Another officer, Major General Christopher Elliott, director general of individual training, said: "People coming into the Army are not as physically strong as they were."

We have to agree with Mr. Littlejohn that for the present Government to be showing agonised concern about this state of affairs is nothing more than gimmickry. The Tories have been in power for 17 years — ample time to have gone a long way towards rectifying matters. Yet they have done virtually nothing.

But this inertia is not new. Back in 1948, when despite being hosts in the Olympics Britain put up a pitiful medal performance, there was much talk of the need to improve the training facilities for our athletes, and all sorts of impressive-sounding resolutions were made. After the initial huff and puff, however, little was done. So it has been after every subsequent Olympic Games. It seems that we in Britain are great at diagnosing the causes of our failures and making promises to ourselves that things will be changed. Almost invariably, however, things in the end just drift on as before.

It is going to take *action*, and not just words, to turn Britain from a nation of losers into one of winners. In fact it is going to take a revolution. Neither the party we have in government, nor the one in opposition, is up to this task. Until the entire face of politics in Britain changes dramatically, we are going to be also-rans in the Olympics and continue to earn only the world's pity.

Champion coolies

While British athletes are third-rate and British Army recruits are physically way below par, British car workers, apparently, are among the

Spearhead

No. 331 SEPTEMBER 1996

c/o PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW

Editor: John Tyndall Assistant Editor: Tom North

Spearhead exists to reflect a cross-section of contemporary British Nationalist opinion. It is privately published by its Editor and is independent of all political parties and groups.

Unless it is specifically stated otherwise, the views expressed in signed articles or letters in *Spearhead* are the sole responsibility of their writers and do not necessarily represent the views of the editor or the policies of any political organisation that *Spearhead* may support editorially.

The appearance of an advertisement in *Spearhead* does not necessarily indicate that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in or support for the product, service, organisation or function being advertised.

Spearhead welcomes enquiries from prospective advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. Advertising matter, accompanied by pre-payment, must be submitted at least one month prior to the publishing date (normally the first day of the month) of the issue for which the advertisement is intended. The Editor reserves the right to refuse to publish advertisements submitted.

The Editor is pleased to receive from readers manuscripts for articles for possible publication, which should not normally be longer than 1,250 words unless specifically pre-arranged with the Editor, and should be sent on 3" computer disk or typed in double spacing. No payment is made for articles published, which become *Spearhead* copyright unless the writers specifically request otherwise at the time they submit their manuscripts. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles submitted for publication should he deem this necessary.

Those wishing to reprint *Spearhead* articles should ensure when doing so to give public acknowledgement to both the writer and to *Spearhead*, and to include in the acknowledgement the full name and address of the magazine.

very best — better even than the Japanese, so says a leading industrialist.

And the interesting thing is that this particular industrialist is Japanese himself — Yukihisa Hirano, the recently departed head of the Toyota plant at Burnaston in Derbyshire.

Our car workers, said Mr. Hirano, work harder than the Japanese, show great dedication and energy, show greater initiative and are more prepared to come forward with ideas. As a result, the Burnaston plant has been enormously successful, leading to the investment of more Toyota money in Britain.

Nissan in the meantime reports the same performances. Its British plant near Sunderland is now the second most efficient in its worldwide empire and on average more efficient than those in Japan itself.

Nice to know there's something we're doing well. But all this prompts a question.

If British car workers are now so much more hard-working and efficient than they used to be — better even than the Japs, why can Britain itself not build up its own home-owned motor industry instead of having to rely for expansion on foreign capital? Why is nearly all of the industry owned by the Japanese, the Americans, the Germans and the Dutch? Why isn't British capital being invested in the industry so as to bring more of it under British ownership?

Could it be that it is government policy to have a motor industry that we don't own ourselves and which takes its orders from foreign bosses? Could it be that coolie status is the fate to which our workers have now been consigned by our politicians?

If all this sounds a little bit too conspiratorial, perhaps someone would provide another explanation.

Europe: the voters' verdict

The British voters are becoming more and more 'Euro-Sceptic'. So says an NOP poll recently conducted by the *Sunday Times*, a decidedly pro-European paper.

In a report in the paper on July 21st, it was stated that:-

"John Major could swing millions of voters back to the Conservatives by defying Kenneth Clarke and ruling out taking the pound into Europe's single currency in the next parliament."


The report stated that those polled, when asked if they would be more or less likely to support a party opposed to a single currency, replied in a majority of two to one (24 per cent as against 12 per cent) in the affirmative. This difference is equivalent to 4 million votes.

Mr. Major desperately wants to win the next election and stay prime minister. He has shown that no principle is too great to be sacrificed to this objective. Then why does he not make such a unequivocal declaration of rejection of a single European currency? He has been offered a heaven-sent lifeline in this showing of public opinion. Why does he not grasp it?

Surely it can only be because there is some unseen pressure upon him not to do so — a pressure stronger even than that of needing to

improve his own electoral popularity.

It would be really interesting to know what this pressure was!



EC HQ

Major would gain 4 million votes by resisting Brussels. Why doesn't he?

A system that has no grip

Just occasionally, a single issue emerges which manages to encapsulate in itself many strands of a political and social malaise illustrating governmental impotence and the hopeless sterility of the ruling national ideology.

Paul Johnson, writing in the *Daily Mail* on August 3rd, expressed outrage at current plans for huge swaythes of Britain's dwindling countryside to be built over in order to provide half of the space for 4.4 million new homes estimated to be needed in the coming 20 years.

4.4 million homes? Isn't our present birth-rate virtually static? So where are all the occupants coming from? Well, apparently, some genius in the Department of the Environment (the section of the Government which is responsible for such matters) has worked out that this requirement is due to the present rate of family break-up in Britain, which if not abated will mean that in the next 20 years 4.4 million people will be getting divorced and setting up single-person households.

As Johnson said in his article:-

"This smug announcement reflects the stupefying complacency of a Government which has just driven through a reluctant Parliament its No-Fault Divorce Law, which will add immeasurably to the single-household problem.

"As more severed couples pour out of the busy courts, with bewildered children clinging to one or the other, so the builders move into virgin fields."

If the divorce rate alone were the cause of this amazing demand for new homes, it would be a bad enough reflection on the Government and upon modern British society generally. One suspects, however, that there is more to this estimate than Major & Co. will admit. Even at present rates of family break-up, 4.4 million seems an extraordinary number. Does the Government know something that it is not telling us? Could this planning also be based on estimates of immigrants likely to settle in Britain over the next 20 years? With current figures of entry, including illegals, at least 100,000 a year, two decades will see two million more coming in — and this is quite apart from natural increase among ethnic minorities already here (the only sections of the population that actually are breeding at

above replacement rate). Such figures also exclude Hong Kong Chinese queuing up to flood in.

However, even if the demand for increased housing were due to acceptable causes, such as a healthy birthrate among indigenous Britons and more of them getting married and raising children, this planned concreting of the countryside would still be wholly unjustified on the scale just announced. As Johnson pointed out in his article, vast areas of urban land are at present out of use. In the South West alone, he said, there are 77,000 empty homes and about 2,000 acres of derelict city land. Yet there are no plans to make use of these wasted resources. Johnson went on to say that at least 150,000 acres of potential building land is lying derelict in and around our towns and cities all over the country. This is apart from the enormous number of homes already built but now empty. With 77,000 of these in the South West alone, the mind boggles at what the total could be nationwide!

One apparent reason why the Government opts to build new homes in the country rather than use this wasted space in the towns is that developers prefer the former as houses in rural areas are much easier to sell, even at higher prices.

So have we now reached a point at which property developers determine national policy? Not quite. Market forces play a part too. People seeking new homes apparently want them in green field areas rather than in the cities and many are willing to pay the extra. Why is this? One reason, says Johnson, is that: "People are shunning cities because they are scared of the terrifying rise in muggings and burglaries."

So what is all this symptomatic of? Only government that is totally without grip, which responds to problems it ought to be able to solve but can't — by creating yet more problems in a seemingly unending spiral.

A government with grip over national affairs would take the necessary measures to bring the divorce rate down rather than see it go continually up. Such a government would put up a total barrier against further non-white immigration (which accounts for almost all immigration). Such a government would clean up the cities by tackling the true causes of crime there in a determined way. Such a government would impose its authority over the developers and tell them in no uncertain terms where they could build and where not. Such a government would take steps to dictate that much needed urban land lying in disuse would be put to use. Such a government would simply forbid the further encroachment of the town upon the country.

But this government, and every other one of modern times, has no such grip. It simply responds to crises rather than preventing them. It is the dog that is continually being wagged by its own tail.

It reflects all the weakness and powerlessness of national 'leadership' in a liberal democracy — swept along by every disastrous current of affairs that it has neither the wit nor the will to stop.

What 'Irish Problem'?

asks FRANK KIMBAL-JOHNSON

THE British people are understandably weary and confused by the endless political bickering and media discussions about Ulster and the so-called 'Irish Problem', and are naturally anxious to see an end to IRA terrorism. So when they are told by politicians that round-the-table discussions can achieve a lasting peace in Ulster without damaging British interests, they are only too ready to accept such bland assurances at face value. On top of this, the general tenor of most media coverage is that if only the Ulster loyalists would stop being such 'bigots' we could all settle down to a peaceful happy-ever-after. Yet, in reality, it is time to face some insurmountable facts:

- * The IRA will settle for nothing less than unimpeded progress towards a United Ireland.

- * The Irish Republic, in retaining its constitutional commitment to a 'United Ireland', makes common cause with the IRA and therefore gives it spurious legitimacy and tacit encouragement.

- * The Ulster loyalists will remain implacably opposed to absorption by the Irish Republic, and will take whatever steps they deem necessary to prevent this.

- * If bombing London is seen by anybody as a way of persuading Britain to abandon Ulster, then bombing Dublin is just as likely to persuade the Republic to reconsider its constitutional claims.

- * The oft-repeated contention that there can be no military solution to IRA terrorism is manifestly absurd. In the entire course of our national history, has any British leader said that we must abandon the military option whenever the national interest is threatened by some foreign agency or power? And to say that the military response has been tried and failed in Ulster is a very bad joke among the Ulster people. All they have seen is a hugely expensive and wholly defensive deployment of troops to give the impression of action, while the IRA has remained free to strike when and where it chooses, to dominate the mass media, to take refuge across the border, to mount public demonstrations with obliging

police escorts, and to enjoy the leisure and even British social security payments, to plan their campaign.

So where do we go from here? The so-called 'peace process' has never been more than a cynical attempt by a corrupt and cowardly Establishment to buy time for party-political purposes. It has already signalled its underlying hostility to Ulster remaining in the United Kingdom, and would readily surrender Ulster to the terrorists tomorrow but for the risk of a civil war which the loyalists would certainly win. Its long-term strategy is to wear down public resistance to Irish imperialism by demonising Ulster loyalists as mindless bigots (a stereotype gleefully exploited by media commentators); to make frequent pious denunciations of violence; to keep spouting about 'round-the-table talks' and 'the democratic process', and — above all — never to upset the Irish-American and Euro lobbies by giving a hint of British nationalism.

Appropriate response

The most appropriate response by a patriotic and courageous British government would include the following measures:

- Formal notice to the Irish Republic that failure to renounce its claim to Ulster would necessitate really effective border closure; ending of dual nationality for Irish people in the UK; the introduction of identity cards in Ulster; an oath of allegiance to the UK as a condition of eligibility for social security; progressive transfer of declared Irish nationalists across the border to the Republic; internment of IRA supporters pending deportation; the death penalty for terrorists; hot pursuit of terrorists across the border, and economic sanctions against the Irish Republic.

- An aggressively pro-active military campaign alongside the Royal Ulster Constabulary to root out IRA terrorists, isolate hostile areas, immobilise IRA sympathisers, enforce curfews, ban demonstrations, deactivate IRA support in the Republic, impose martial law when necessary, and at all times demonstrate the British will and capacity to defend the

integrity of the United Kingdom.

- A total media embargo on Irish nationalists, and the proscription of all their supporting groups.

All of which will be denounced as 'British fascism' by people who unblushingly support the attempts of a tiny minority of IRA supporters to force the Ulster people into the Irish Republic at gunpoint. Such people have rationalised their cowardice and treachery into spurious regard for 'democratic' ideals and the 'right to self-determination' — for everybody except the British.

At all events, let it be very clearly understood that any attempt to force Ulster into the Irish Republic can only result in a Balkan-style civil war, in which the Republic itself would become untenable. **As in so many other areas of world conflict, geographical separation of irreconcilable peoples is the only viable option; 'blood and soil' remain the determinants of national destiny.**

Meanwhile, we should make it very plain indeed that British loyalists will never allow pusillanimous liberals to buy peace in their comfortable mainland retreats at the expense of abandoning Ulster. If this is 'loyalist bigotry', it is of exactly the kind which characterised our national heroes throughout history. There is nothing to choose between the "No Surrender" of the loyalist and Nelson's "I see no signal." By contrast, flabby-minded and limp-wristed 'peace-at-any-price' liberals are the natural allies of the IRA, and as such expose themselves to ultimate retribution from its natural enemies.

There is no 'middle way', no 'compromise', when it comes to national survival; those who cling to such fatuous concepts have already surrendered their rights along with their responsibilities. So depend upon it: there is no 'Irish Problem', only a protracted and disgraceful series of 'accommodations' between an effete Establishment and an inconsequential motley of Marxist-Fenian gangsters and their political puppets. The problem isn't Irish, it's the British Government.

FREEDOM VIDEOS

For your copy of our latest catalogue of nationalist, revisionist and music videos (VHS) send two first-class stamps to: **Freedom Videos, Box 1, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hants, GU33 7DD.**

FRANK MARTELL'S view from the States

"Money talks and bull**** walks."

WITH the Presidential election coming up in November, attention is again being focused on Democratic President Bill Clinton's relationship with the Mafia. This is a recurring theme in American politics.

Ronald Reagan came under fire for his relationship with the criminal leadership of the Teamsters, the largest labour union in the USA. Reagan appointed Ray Donovan as his Secretary of Labor in exchange for his raising \$5 million of the \$60 million spent on his 1980 presidential campaign. Donovan was forced to resign when his Mafia ties became known and he was indicted for criminal conspiracy although — like so many Mafia chieftains — he was acquitted because a way has not been found to protect jurors.

John F. Kennedy's relationship with the Mafia is now well known. And recent television specials have outlined how the US re-established the Mafia in Italy in 1943 (it had been run out of the country in the 1920s by Mussolini) with the help of Lucky Luciano as a trusted counterpoise to the Fascists and the Communists. The Mafia and the American capitalists spoke the same language — money. They understood each other and worked well together — to Italy's present consternation. This used to be revisionist history but now it is being broadcast on the national History Channel.

According to the FBI, five major labour unions are controlled by the Mafia. The others are controlled by leftist Jews.

The union movement as a whole traditionally supported the Democratic Party, but when Attorney General Robert Kennedy began to attack Jimmy Hoffa and Mafia control of the Teamsters in the 1960s the Teamsters turned to the Republican Party for protection, supporting Richard Nixon for President in 1968. But so much was revealed about how the Mafia's \$10 billion plundering of the Teamsters' pension fund paid for the building of the casinos of Las Vegas, and the role of Mafia chieftains such as Sam Giancana in winning critical states for JFK in 1960, that eventually public pressure forced the takeover of the Teamsters by the Federal government. This took place in the 1980s, although the Mafia is only biding its time and is still strongly entrenched in Teamster locals despite the supposedly great effort to wipe it out.

The Mafia and the FBI

Every time a big mobster is convicted, the FBI declares the demise of the Mafia. But one has to question the role of the FBI, as the Mafia rose to power under the nose of J. Edgar Hoover in the 1920s and '30s. And in 1962, when Robert Kennedy told Hoover that it was time to destroy the Mafia, Hoover replied that

it did not exist — it was a myth!

Hoover, throughout his life, saw his mission as the prevention of revolution and the crushing of revolutionary organisations, whether of left or right. Simple crime-fighting was for the public image of the FBI and never much concerned him. The Mafia leaders were after personal power and profit and so their activities were no threat to the system which preached the same principle — self-interest. Indeed, the mobsters genuinely loved American capitalism, as it allowed them to operate freely — a far-cry from Mussolini's Italy. And during Prohibition, the Mafia provided something that the American leadership very much wanted — alcohol. Moreover, organised crime provided an outlet from the puritanical dictates of Christian ideological government. It provided prostitutes, gambling, and later drugs, for those who craved such services (we should not forget in this context the comment of Bill Clinton's cocaine-pushing brother that the current President "has a nose like a hoover").

Such things help governments, particularly corrupt governments, to survive by siphoning off discontent into personal pleasure and away from revolutionary politics. In the light of this fact, Hoover's attitude towards organised crime becomes understandable, even if he was not personally on the take (the jury on this is still out, although rumours abound). No criminal organisation can long survive without the cooperation of a large part of the police, judiciary and administration. And the criminal organisations in the US are richer and more powerful than anywhere else in the world, which is why one must wonder about the FBI.

Latest scandal

Now we come to the latest scandal involving the 'dead' Mafia. On November 4th, 1994, the Justice Department presented a memorandum to President Clinton exposing Mafia control of the Laborers' International Union of North America and recommending that its president, Arthur Coia, be deposed and the union be taken over by the Federal government and cleaned up. Unfortunately for the Justice department, that same day Clinton had sent a personal note to Coia, thanking him for the gift of a handcrafted golf club. So the union was not taken over and Coia is still its president, so the 'clean-up' operation is going on under his leadership! Complaints from union members and Justice Department attorneys about the snail's pace of the operation keep surfacing in the press and could hurt Clinton in November if the Republicans can capitalise on it without drawing attention to their own relations with the Mob.

In the meantime, Coia continues to run his union, purging his enemies — now with the help of the Federal government — by claiming that they are tied to the Mafia, while appointing real

Cosa Nostra figures to high positions. A typical example is Salvatore Lanza, who has become Treasurer of the union's Political Action Committee, which provides generous contributions to people like Bill Clinton. Coia lives in an oceanfront mansion on the New England coast, enjoys breakfast meetings with the First Lady and attended a big fundraiser for Clinton in May.

Coia is not atypical in his lavish living. Most union leaders, whether they be in Mafia-controlled or in Jewish-controlled unions, live high on the hog at the expense of their members. Little wonder that union membership nationwide has dropped from 36 per cent to only 18 per cent of the workforce. In spite of this, the unions are still powerful because, as one senior Congressman put it while accepting bribe money on a secret FBI videotape: "In Washington, money talks and bull**** walks."



THE press pundits are trying to figure out what it all means. In the film *Independence Day*, which opened in July, ruthless aliens blow up the White House — "and audiences love it. Many stomp and holler their approval Why are crowds cheering when the White house explodes?" Speculation extends from the partisan — "a bad omen for Clinton's re-election" — to a deeper hatred for the federal government — "because they know it's the end of their FBI file" to "it's very American to hate authority" to "maybe they view the aliens as liberating them in some respect."

Yet these are not friendly aliens; their object is the extermination of mankind, not the liberation of Americans from federal tyranny. Indeed, the message of the film is supposed to be very patriotic — in a New World Order sort of way. It is a multi-racial fantasy. The heroes are a brave black fighter pilot and a genius Jew, who together destroy the alien mother ship. Meanwhile the President of the United States, fortunately a former fighter pilot rather than a draft-dodger, leaves his command post to lead from the front. He mounts up an F-16 and flies to destroy one of the alien craft, but cannot do so without the help of an alcoholic cropduster. Thank God we have blacks, Jews and alcoholics ready to save us from our enemies! What would we do without them? Little wonder that so many audiences side with the aliens.

WHEN AUTHORITY COLLAPSES

JOHN TYNDALL looks beyond the conventional theories about Britain's moral decline

IT always seems to me that when society agonises about moral issues, and in particular about declining moral standards, it approaches the matter from the wrong direction. The tendency on the Christian right is to postulate morals as absolutes and then to condemn 'sinners' as being individually responsible for their transgressions. The tendency on the left, Christian and otherwise, is to lay the guilt for moral decline, when it is admitted at all, on some real or imagined social injustice and to assume that this injustice need only be remedied for moral rectitude to reign.

I am going to suggest a different approach, starting with one or two reminiscences from my own school and army days.

Boys in their early teens are not generally mature enough to appreciate of their own accord the need for rules and discipline, and therefore require to have those things imposed upon them from above by what we might here call the 'authority figure'; at home a father, at school a teacher.

At home, my father was an admirable authority figure; at school, however, substitutes were not always available.

Between the ages of 10 and 12 I went to a school where standards were not high and teachers, for the most part, were not very competent. Class discipline, consequently, suffered considerably, and I recall being among the more frequent offenders. The 'authority figures', you see, were to us rather a weak and comical bunch. They could not win our respect, and therefore many of us took the greatest delight in misbehaving.

But there was one exception. He was Mr. Ward, a Scot, who taught English Literature. There was something about the man that won both our liking and respect. He was a very capable teacher, his lessons were interesting and usually orderly, and when the occasional disorderly act occurred he hardly required to do much in the way of corrective measures, for the pupils would turn on the offender after class and impose their own discipline. They disliked having Mr. Ward's classes disrupted, and they made this very clear to all who might venture to do so.

This was my first lesson in that intangible but vital attribute: leadership.

Importance of personality

The disciplinary rules in Mr. Ward's classes were the same as in every other teacher's, but he was able to communicate them down to the boys and get them accepted, while the other teachers were not. It was not analysis of the

rights and wrongs of the rules that decided the extent of their observance — as I have indicated, boys of 10-12 are not very capable of such things; it was the personality of this particular authority figure which made for good lessons and good order.

Later experiences in the army were instructive.* By this time (18-20) young men ought to be capable of working out some rationale for disciplinary rules and to appreciate their necessity, but the extent to which the latter occurs still depends a great deal on three things: (1) As before, the personal influence of authority figures; (2) Pride in the unit and the desire not to let it down; (3) Tangible evidence that disciplinary rules serve a valuable purpose, i.e. that they enhance the unit's operational effectiveness.

Leadership and efficiency

These three things are of course closely interwoven. Again, it comes back basically to leadership. Competent leadership will make for an efficient unit, and that efficiency will be seen by the men. This will make for pride in the unit, but that pride can come also from other things: an awareness of the unit's history, its traditions and its achievements. These latter things are for the unit's commanders to impart to the men. The effectiveness with which they do so will depend to a great extent on the impact of their personalities as well, of course, as the diligence with which they go about their duties. If they concern themselves only with going through the motions of attending to their daily functions and directing the men under them to do likewise, and never apply themselves to the task of maintaining the latter's morale and motivation, they will not get the best results, and the unit's standards will suffer. As those standards suffer, morale and discipline suffer in consequence, making for a vicious downward spiral.

My unit was stationed in the British Zone of what then was occupied West Germany. Travelling around that zone with my regimental cricket and soccer teams, I visited several units of both the Army and RAF and was able to observe some variations in the standards of smartness and discipline achieved. One would arrive at a scruffy and untidy barracks and observe scruffy and untidy personnel (the two invariably going together). From this one could immediately gauge something of the probable quality of the officers in charge, beginning with the CO himself. This was not a certain indication that the operational efficiency of the unit was low, but it was a very probable one.

Interestingly enough, it usually turned out that these units did not give our teams much of a game, whilst the reverse was true of units that looked smart, disciplined and, in all probability, well officered!

Microcosm

I have always felt in subsequent years that these experiences of rules, discipline and leadership in the armed forces serve, albeit perhaps in greatly simplified form, as a microcosm of a person's developing moral awareness. Of course, the religious dimension is not present in the former case, and I do not want to ignore, least of all deride, the role of religion in shaping the moral values of a great many people. Religion, however, does not suit everyone; and I believe, no doubt controversially, that society must find ways of maintaining moral standards among those who do not feel its call.

Social and personal morality, the moral fabric that holds a nation together and makes for better citizens, is a considerably more complex matter than disciplinary rules at school or in the forces. My own view, however, is that in the latter the essentials are there which provide at least the skeleton for the former — for of what does morality really consist?

It has to consist, among other things, of an acceptance that a code of behaviour, towards one's fellows and towards society as a whole, has a practical purpose, which is to make life more agreeable and, more important than that, to make society — by which we mean here the *nation* — function to the maximum good.

Morality, in addition, must incorporate the element of *pride*. There is first of all collective pride, in other words pride in the group, tribe, race, to which one belongs and the sense of a duty not to undermine its standards. Then there is personal pride, the pride that comes of knowing that one has not, as an individual, fallen from the standards that one knows are right.

Not least, morality is inextricably intertwined with respect for *authority* — which at the level at which we are now dealing is represented in many layers: parent, schoolteacher, boss at work, commanding officer in the forces, manager and/or captain of the sports team, minister at the church, primate of that church, finally government and head of government. To some of us, all these personages are applicable authority figures; to all of us, at least some of them are. To many in Britain, if not to all, the Monarch

and his/her family are authority figures, and the examples they set are important as models to the population as a whole.

What we now have in Britain is a society that has become totally dysfunctional. The nation has no leadership at any level — politics, church, royalty, the schoolroom. Indeed in the latter the entire concept of leadership is dismissed as out of tune with the new ethos of 'Political Correctness'. Even in many of the better schools, pupils are allowed to address teachers by their first names, and some classrooms are arranged according to a circular pattern so that the teacher does not have a position which might imply any 'authority' status.

Contemporary Britain has completely lost sight of the virtues and rules of behaviour that make for strong, cohesive, efficient and successful nations. As a result, the nation is a failure at almost everything it does. National pride receives little stimulus except where it can be generated through an understanding of history, and this is seldom allowed in classrooms given to the practice of distorting history teaching in order to promote 'world citizenship'. Without pride, standards fall. Not surprisingly, 'morality' has become something at which to snigger. Of course, plenty of the sniggering is done by trendy media intellectuals and entertainers, but the country as it is has little defence against them. 'Authority', wherever we care to observe it, is indeed a joke, so how can we complain if everything it represents is lampooned?

Lessons from the animal world

Ellen Strachan, writing in these columns last month, put her finger on the basic origins of all morality when she examined how ethics have evolved throughout the animal world — from the rules of which humans are indeed not exempt. She said:-

"All living creatures, from the most primitive unicellular organisms to the most complex animals (humans), have an instinct for the preservation of themselves and their kind. It is life's imperative: the reason for

everything we do. Or so it should be.

"Yet instincts can be deadened or perverted, by domestication in the case of animals and by that form of domestication which we call 'civilisation' in the case of humans."

Later, the same writer declared:-

"Survival is the only morality. This fact cannot be abolished by man declaring it 'evil'. Reality cannot be abolished, moralised away. The sole criteria of 'right' and 'wrong' is that right actions help your genetic survival and wrong actions hinder it."

Just in case these words should be misunderstood as a condoning of any anti-social behaviour, including criminality, as means to the survival of the culprits, the writer makes it clear that she is alluding to *group survival*, the survival of the tribe, pack, race, nation. Speaking of gazelles and lions, pigeons and baboons and, not least, humans, she said:-

"All such communities have a social structure and rules governing their behaviour, but only humans attempt to rationalise their own, very similar, social behaviour by referring to 'morality'."

As indeed humans would be bound to do, given their vastly more developed and complex intellectual powers. But, this having been said, humans remain subject to the same rules. In their case, the needs of group survival and welfare do not of course provide the *only* criteria of what are 'moral' actions. More refined sentiments such as love, charity, kindness, sympathy and justice come into play also, and it is these which make for the 'civilisation' to which Miss Strachan refers. 'Civilisation', however, carries in itself dangers which all the wiser humans have understood. If pursued to excess, it can, like domestication in animals, have a counter-evolutionary effect. For centuries, white tribes and nations knew just how far to extend the boundaries of civilisation. They knew that those boundaries had to be drawn at the point where group survival dictated. Whilst, under

civilisation, survivalist considerations were not the only criteria of morality, they were always given priority and man never descended to the insanity of adopting a moral code which ran *contrary* to survivalist needs.

Liberalism

This rule has now been broken as a result of the dominance of humanist liberalism. What is now demanded as a mark of 'civilisation' is the adoption of a morality which works *against* the needs of group survival and welfare rather than in harmony with them. The consequence — and an absolutely natural one — is that everywhere morality is on the retreat. Morality can no longer be perceived by our people as being conducive to the strong, efficient, stable and successful nation that is its essential justification. Neither — and not coincidentally — is morality sustained by an effective and respected *authority*, able to demonstrate its rationale both by personal ability, integrity and uprightness and by the evidence of good government. Hence the dysfunctional society referred to earlier.

In an article last month I gave one example of this in the genesis of the football hooligan. Young men with red-blooded male instincts are condemned to grow up in an environment in which almost every attendant influence, whether at school, in church or by way of the entertainment media, is contrived to have a feminising effect on them. From this there comes *alienation*, and from alienation comes a contempt for the ethical norms of the society being rejected.

When the red-blooded young male is told by his 'authority figures' that, for instance, homosexuality is acceptable, he is hearing something that is out of harmony with all his natural instincts as to what is right and wrong. Rejecting that teaching, he extends his rejection to so much else that 'authority' is seen to stand for. We then have the recipe for social anarchy.

This is of course something of a simplification of hooliganism which is

Cont. overleaf



WHEN IT'S 'MORAL' TO ACCEPT THIS, WHO IS THE SINNER?

Queers and lesbians flaunting themselves on a recent 'Gay Pride' parade in Glasgow. When 'authority' determines that these people are normal and have 'rights', folk with healthy instincts are going to rebel and, perhaps, break the law

Cont. from page 7

intended to illustrate a point. I do not ignore the truth that among the hooligan fraternity are some who are just basically bad through and through and would be found among the anti-social elements in any society, even the soundest. The point is made to explain the *scale* that the problem has attained in recent times and also to take the opportunity to say that among my personal acquaintances are men who in their ill-disciplined youth engaged in fights at football matches but later grew to be decent and responsible citizens, able to keep their aggression under control and reserve it for occasions when it is truly needed.

Liberalism undermines morality

Liberalism has an additional effect which undermines morality. Being an individual-centred creed, it is only able to recognise wrong-doing in as much as it affects individuals. According to liberal doctrine, individual 'rights' are absolute — which here include the 'right' to any kind of degenerate behaviour — just so long as exercise of them does not infringe upon the 'rights' of other individuals. Nowhere here is there any consideration for the welfare of the race and the obligation of its members to regulate their behaviour with a mind to their duties to posterity. The individual is seen as an island unto himself instead of, as he should be, as a link between racial past and future.

I have acknowledged simplification, and it could be argued that the whole of this analysis of moral decline is somewhat simplified in as much as it might seem to provide an excuse for some of the most depraved crimes in the catalogue. No-one, for instance, could justify the practices of the child-molester and murderer on the grounds that the dysfunctional nature of our society has debased the currency

of moral values or that 'authority figures' are lacking who might otherwise point the way to better behaviour. Here we are dealing simply with congenital and incorrigible human flotsam — of which Dunblane murderer Thomas Hamilton is perhaps the most notorious archetype. But indeed, far from contradicting my thesis here, the Hamiltons of this world simply underline it, for they are the foremost creations of the dysfunctional society under examination. Precisely because this society is dysfunctional, precisely because it has extended the bounds of 'civilisation' far beyond rational limits, such people have 'rights'. Whereas in societies closer to nature, and possessive of the will to defend themselves against those evils that would threaten them, people of this type would have been ruthlessly weeded out from the first moment their proclivities were revealed, Hamilton and his ilk have been allowed their freedoms on the grounds that it would not be 'civilised' to suppress them! In the failure to take action against Hamilton, after repeated evidence of what he was up to, we see writ large the collapse of authority that is central to our moral crisis. A hallmark of any society with the will to maintain moral order is the clinical efficiency with which it carries out its garbage disposal.

But even such episodes, terrible though their final consequences are, are but a local manifestation of a tendency that is general everywhere, and nowhere more so than at the top. How can we speak of there being any 'authority', in moral questions as in others, when the government of the day instead of hunting down and dealing with terrorists with the maximum force of the law — terrorists who will murder, not just political opponents, but innocent children — sits in conference with them, negotiates with them and offers


them a generous portion of what they are demanding, with the implied promise of yet more of the same if they carry on killing?

In the revolting pantomime of the Northern Ireland 'peace talks' we see proof that any ghastly crime will be reacted to benevolently, even encouraged, if expediency dictates. Here our senior 'authority figure', confronted by the latest atrocity, can only whimper that the 'peace process' will continue — when what the nation waits for is a roar of anger and retribution against the offenders. Mr. Major is today's incarnation of the limp-wristed, vapid-faced joke of a schoolmaster whom I remember so well as being unable to keep order in the classroom. And his type prevails right across the political spectrum, because these are times when authority is the enemy and weakness is virtue.

Britain most certainly stands in dire need of moral regeneration, but it is important that we understand the true nature of our moral crisis. That is something that must begin from a proper appreciation of the social and political roots of what we have come to call 'morality'. It must also incorporate a recognition that morality will not return unless *authority* returns first: authority in all its necessary spheres and at all its necessary levels — beginning with government, which alone has the power to engineer the revolution that has to come about to give effect to these needs.

Christianity

Some readers may feel offended because in this analysis I have not stressed the role of Christianity. This is not because I repudiate that it may have such a role but only because I believe that, as things stand right now, Christianity does not have all the answers. Christians did not invent moral values; they



Yet another horrific paedophile murder. Little Sophie Hook was raped and strangled by Howard Hughes, a pervert with a long record of sex offences against children.

"in societies closer to nature, and possessive of the will to defend themselves, people of this type would have been ruthlessly dealt with from the first moment their proclivities were revealed"



arose out of the instinctive need of all societies to devise codes that would enable them to function effectively as units — to ensure genetic survival, as Miss Strachan has put it. Nietzsche said much the same thing in his *Genealogy of Morals*.

The contribution of Christianity and some other religions has lain in their concept of retributive justice against the 'sinner' in the after-life. The undeniable power of this idea served societies well throughout centuries in which the masses lacked education and the capacity for independent thought and were dominated by priestly superstition. The weakness was that once the superstition was broken, as it was always likely to be under the impact of modern knowledge, there was nothing left except man's innate decency combined with his rational appreciation of the social necessity for moral conventions. Such a rationale can work when society itself can be demonstrated to be working. Once society falls apart, the rationale disappears, and it is every individual for himself. And once authority collapses the inspiration of noble example is no longer present.

In past ages, Christianity had the good sense to align itself with those mores that served the tribal interests of those among whom it took root. Though its ideals may have been universalist, in practice it did not violate that which was tribal, natural, healthy and evolutionary. As long as it observed these rules, it did no harm and in many places did some good.

If Christianity is to be instrumental in future moral resurgence, it must depart from its present habit of identification with everything that is decadent, sickly and inferior. It must cease its preoccupation with death and become the ally of life. It must abandon its pretension to leadership of the weak and march in the company of the *strong*. It must express its soul and its essence in the singing of the St. Matthew Passion and give up rapping in the aisles. It must again assume the proud and virile features of a Charles Kingsley and turn with revulsion from the creepy smirks of our Canon Österreichers. It must, to put it in the most basic terms, decide whether it is with us or against us.

Harmony with nature

What we must achieve, if morality is to be restored, is above all a society whose function is in harmony with the natural order. Our present society is dysfunctional precisely because it is founded on principles that are contrary to the natural order. The consequence of this is that what we are taught is 'moral' meets with rebellion on the part of our natural instincts.

In the natural order, tribal loyalty and pride replace and exclude universalism. 'Morality' is what is good for the tribe; 'immorality' is what is harmful to it. 'Kindness' assumes its original meaning: concern for the welfare of our own kind, not of that abstraction called 'humanity'. Manliness and femininity make for a total, though complementary, polarity between the sexes. 'Authority' is not derided but allowed to assert itself wherever *superiority* prevails. Superiority, indeed, becomes our ideal and our goal, rather than service of the wretched.



Former Archbishop of Canterbury Michael Ramsey and his equally anti-White wife.
Typical products of a cult of weakness and death

Are we British Whites strong and healthy enough to embrace these principles, or have we gone so far along the downward evolutionary path that we are doomed to extinction, along with all those other forms of life which departed from survivalist rules? That is the big question which the coming century will decide.

* The writer apologises to readers for the frequency with which, in recent issues, he has referred to his days of army service. In fact he was a very ordinary soldier. He did not go near any war, let alone win any medals, and the rank of lance bombardier (i.e. corporal) was the summit of his military achievement. These experiences could have been those of millions of others, and they are related only because they are useful in expounding certain truths that apply to life in general.

Gothic Ripples The Voice of the Vanguard

Send 20p UK stamp or International Reply Coupon from abroad for details of Colin Jordan's hard-hitting bulletin of no compromise with the forces and habits of decadence. Thorgarth, Greenhow Hill, Harrogate, HG3 5JQ, England

Two important new pamphlets: *HOLOCAUST "REVISIONISM" AND FRAUD* and *THE HISTORY OF THE PROTOCOLS OF ZION*. £2.99 each or both for £5 from ITMA, 93c Venner Road, Sydenham, London SE26 5HU. Send SAE for full list of titles from Gerry Gable's least favourite independent publisher.

Remember the BNP in your will!

We wish all our readers a long life, but none of us can stay on this earth for ever. Have you ever thought about how you can help the cause when you are gone?

The British National Party has benefitted immensely from a few of its supporters remembering the party in their wills. In most cases the money has been invested so as to guarantee the party a regular income, rather than being spent in one go.

Why not become one of those benefactors yourself? Whatever you do, make sure you do not die intestate and let your estate go to prop up the anti-British Establishment!

It is natural that if you have a family you should wish to provide for them, but nevertheless you may well like to set aside something for the good cause as well. On the other hand, if you have no next of kin there is all the more reason for ensuring that the Cause gets something by which to remember you.

If you want advice on this matter, please ring or write in and let us know.



the Rune

Punchy... professional... provocative... principled... powerful — so take a look at Britain's most talked-about Nationalist magazine. Don't wait any longer! Send £2.50 or 5 IRCs for your sample copy of issue no.12 to:- PO Box 301, Carshalton, Surrey, SM5 4QW, England.

Playing cards on a sinking ship

Dr. WILLIAM PIERCE urges us all to stand up and be counted

The following inspiring message was first broadcast on American Dissident Voices radio by Dr. Pierce, Chairman of the US National Alliance. It has been edited in accordance with the restrictions imposed by the British Government's anti-free speech Race Laws.

ON earlier programmes I've spoken to you about a number of important and specific topics. I've spoken about the disastrous effects of so-called 'free trade' on the American economy. I've spoken several times about the campaign against Americans' Constitutional rights by the forces of Political Correctness. I've examined the danger for America in the New World Order being pushed by the Clintonistas. I've warned you about the gun-control zealots in the government who want to disarm all law-abiding American citizens. All of these are important topics, very important.

But these things are dwarfed almost to insignificance by one overwhelmingly important problem that White Americans must deal with, and that is the loss of our will to survive as a people. To point out that so-called 'free trade' is wrecking our economy, or to lament the encroachments of Political Correctness in these times is almost like card players on the deck of the *Titanic* worrying about who will win the next hand. Today, instead of talking about the economy or about our Constitutional rights, I want to talk to you about the fact that our ship is sinking.

In my earlier talks I've tried to be polite. I've tried to avoid offending or shocking anyone. Today I need to be very blunt. I'm sorry if I offend you, but the time is very late, and the matter at hand is far too urgent for politeness. I want to say a few things very plainly, very clearly, so that everyone can understand me.

From the heart

First, I want it to be clear exactly "where I'm coming from", as the expression goes. I'm not speaking today as a conservative, or as an advocate of Constitutional rights. I'm not even speaking primarily as an American patriot. I'm speaking as a **White man**.

I was born in this country of middle-class parents of English and Scotch-Irish ancestry. I had a fairly normal upbringing. I went to ordinary schools, had ordinary childhood experiences, worked at more or less ordinary summer jobs in various parts of the country as I completed my schooling, and eventually I began teaching at a university. And while I was doing these things — while I was growing up and studying and working — America, my country, the country my parents and grandparents and great grandparents and great-great grandparents for many generations had built with their hands and their hearts and their

brains and their blood, was being destroyed, was being turned upside down and inside out, was being degraded, was being perverted into something ugly and alien. You've seen it yourself. You know what I'm talking about.

Now, I cannot claim to be much more public-spirited than the average citizen. My reaction to most of the destructive things which were happening around me was simply to withdraw and eventually to become alienated from the government and from large sections of society. I became cynical about politicians and lawyers and the churches and the media. I didn't think of these things which were happening to my country as my problems. I thought of them as other people's problems, as the government's problems, and I went on with my own affairs.

I mention this, because I want you to know that I understand this selfish tendency in people to ignore the problems around them. I understand this desire to not become involved. Up to a point, I am tolerant toward people who focus on looking out for themselves and refuse to take a stand against evil. As I said, I used to be that way myself.

Forced to get off the fence

But the problems kept growing, and I kept thinking about them, and eventually I was forced to draw some conclusions. Eventually I was forced to stop being just a spectator and to **become involved**. I have written about my conclusions in some of the books which are available from National Vanguard Books. I've spoken about some of them on previous *American Dissident Voices* broadcasts.

Unfortunately, however, most of you who are listening now still haven't become involved. You're still just spectators. And the problems are becoming worse. And I've thought that perhaps part of the fault is mine. Perhaps I haven't stated things plainly enough or forcefully enough. **Perhaps by dwelling on the details too much I've not made it clear that you can't keep ignoring what's happening to your country, to your race, to your world. You must take a stand. You must begin acting like a responsible adult.**

So today I'll keep things very simple. I don't want anyone to miss the point.

There are two great threats, two external dangers, aimed at our hearts today, which must be averted if White Americans are to survive as a people. One of these threats is the growing number of non-Whites in our country. Our virtually uncontrolled borders, an immigration policy which favors non-Whites over Whites, and a welfare policy which encourages the reproduction of the non-Whites already here: these things will make us a minority in our own country before the middle of the next century. Already, our cities, which used to be our homes, places where we worked and played

and raised our children and felt ourselves part of a community, have become wholly alien to us, places where many of us still must live and work, but which we certainly no longer feel ourselves a part of.

This growing presence of non-Whites in our lives is not something we can adapt to. We all know that there are people who are trying to adapt, people who tell themselves that they must learn to get along in a non-White world and even hope that there will be a place for their children in it. But in the long run we can't adapt to it, and there will be no place in it for our children. The liberals imagine that by being trendy, by chasing after every new social, cultural, or political fashion promoted by television — every fashion which offends and disgusts healthy, free White men and women — they are adapting to what they think of as racial progress. They imagine that by encouraging their children to learn Black jive talk and pick up rap ditties from MTV and look up to Black basketball players as role models, they are assuring a place for them in the multicultural New World Order. But they aren't. They can't. Manifestly, the situation in America is becoming worse every year, and no matter how much they try to adapt, it won't be enough.

Perhaps you live in a small town in Iowa or Kansas and America's situation doesn't yet seem too bad to you. But if you live or work in almost any metropolitan area you know that the situation is very bad indeed, and you've seen it getting worse at an alarming rate. If you think about how much worse the racial situation in your area has become in just the past 10 years, and if you imagine what it will be like in another 20 years, you don't have to be a rocket scientist to understand that it will not be tolerable.

Really, even if you are fortunate enough to be able to live in some part of the country which is not likely to become non-White for decades, just imagine how bad your economic situation will be with the government taxing the productive minority to support a non-White underclass which has become the majority.

Nowhere to run

And if your children go away to school or to find work, they will be beyond your ability to protect them. Even today, no home which has a television receiver in it can claim to be a truly healthy environment for raising children. We cannot escape this evil by running from it, we cannot adapt to it, and we cannot ignore it.

The second external danger threatening our people is the problem of the control of the news and entertainment media in America — and in much of the rest of the White world also — by people who are fundamentally hostile to our race. We live today in a world dominated by television. People's information about what is happening in the world — and also their

opinions about what is happening, their attitudes — come from their television screens. And what appears on those screens — what is fed into their minds and the minds of their children — is determined by a small, hostile minority in their midst. The people who control television — and most of the other mass media as well — effectively control our government. They control our government's policies. They control the types of laws we have. Certainly they control the politicians, because they control the **image** of a politician which is presented to the voters, and the politicians know that. The Clintonistas wouldn't be in the White House today if the controllers of the media hadn't put them there by persuading our increasingly suggestible electorate to vote for them.

Virtually every destructive policy which has been adopted by our government and every destructive attitude which has been adopted by our people have come from the people who control our mass media: the acceptance of homosexuality, the laws favoring non-Whites over Whites in employment, the promotion of abominations like rap music among our young people, the ruinous welfare and immigration

of 'White racist'. I know that many of you are nervous even about being considered by your neighbors to be Politically Incorrect.

But believe me, it shouldn't really be such a scary thing. The ranks of the Politically Incorrect are growing: you wouldn't learn this from watching television, but a good 20 to 30 per cent of the White population of America already understand the two dangers I've just pointed out. And among you listening to me now, a **substantial majority** understand these things. You might not be ready to admit it in public yet, but you agree with me about the dangers facing our people. You agree, but you're still afraid to deal with these dangers, you're afraid to stand up and speak out against them, because you've been conditioned by the controlled media to be afraid. They want you to be afraid, and so they've used all of their powers of persuasion to keep you frightened and silent. They want you to believe that no-one will agree with you if you speak your feelings. They want you to believe that everyone will hate and revile you if you say that you would prefer to live in a White country and that you believe that the mass media are not in good hands.

Of course, there **are** people who **will** hate you if you take a stand. The organized minorities will hate you. The homosexuals and the feminists and the Zionists will hate you. And plenty of White people will hate you too, White people who are under the spell of the mass media, White people who really believe what they see and hear on television, White people who no longer have any sense of racial identity or loyalty and who slavishly adopt every destructive trend and fad which comes from their television receivers, White people who have become part of the problem.

But you will **not** be alone. Ten years ago you might have been alone. Maybe even five years ago. But no longer. Now you will find that you have far more friends and far more supporters than you imagine, far more people who agree with you. Ten years ago most White people thought that they could live with what was happening to America. They thought that things wouldn't get much worse than they already were.

Now they understand that they were **wrong**. Now they understand that there is **no living** with the **evil** which is consuming us, no compromising with it. Now more and more of them are coming to understand that unless we take a stand against it and put an end to it, it will destroy us and our posterity, it will negate everything that makes life meaningful and worthwhile for us.

That's why the response to our radio programmes has grown so enormously. That's why we've gone from a single radio station to a network of twelve stations in just two years and are now adding new stations to our network nearly every month.

No, you **won't** be **alone** if you stand up for what's right, if you stand up for America and for your race, if you stand up against the evil which is destroying us. You'll not be alone, but instead you'll be a part of the growing number of White Americans who finally are fed up, who finally are beginning to act like responsible

adults instead of letting themselves be bullied into silence.

You know, I'm really glad that you agree with me, even if you only agree partly, even if there are some things you still aren't sure about. And I'm glad if listening to our message has helped you in any way to better understand the situation that White Americans are in.

But what I'm telling you today is that agreeing and understanding aren't enough. What I'm telling you is that now you **must** stand up and be counted. I'm telling you that you no longer can simply be a spectator, that you no longer have the option of remaining silent and doing nothing. Not if you want to keep your self-respect. Not if you want your children and your grandchildren to respect your memory. Not if you value your immortal soul.

Silence is not an option

Do you understand what I'm saying to you? I'm telling you that all of the things you've hated to see happening to America during the past 30 or 40 years, the things which have made you sick to your stomach, the things which you knew were evil, were false, were destructive, will only continue getting worse and worse, if you remain silent and do nothing.


Our cities will become uglier and more dangerous. Our schools will become more and more degraded. Interracial sex will continue to become more commonplace. Immigrants — both legal and illegal — will continue to flood into the country. The welfare burden will continue to grow. More and more filth like the Clintonistas will be elected to public office. The New World Order will tighten its grip on the throats of our people. And the controlled media will keep on pushing, pushing, pushing: more filth, more degeneracy, more darkness.

The liberals will keep calling the darkness 'progress'. And I know that many of you, who understand that surrendering to evil is not progress, will tell yourselves that it's not yet too bad, that even though we don't like what's happening, it's still possible to live with it.

And I say that if you try to live with evil and do not oppose it, then you will lose your soul. You cannot respect yourself, and your children cannot respect you. You cannot be an honorable, upright man or woman.

And, really, what I am asking you to do is not so difficult or dangerous. All I want you to do is make a decision now to accept your responsibility as a White man or woman. I want you to stop being a passive spectator while your country, your civilization and your race are destroyed. I want you to say to yourself: "All right, I've had enough. From this day I will no longer tolerate those who are stealing my children's future. I will do everything in my power to oppose them." And when you say that to yourself, I want you to mean it. I want you to think about your situation, your resources, your skills and abilities, and I want you to decide how you can best do what needs to be done.

For this I know: Together, you and I **can** make a difference.



More taxes for an ever-growing Underclass

policies — I could go on and on — all of this has come from the controlled media. And it will keep coming, as long as the media are controlled by the sort of people at present in charge. Do not imagine that grovelling or self-flagellation on our part or any other effort by us to appease them or accommodate ourselves to them will persuade them to change their ways.

These two things: — the growing presence of non-Whites among us and the propaganda pumped out by the anti-white controllers of our news and entertainment media, will **destroy** us, if we do not put a stop to them. There will be no place in the world for our children and our grandchildren. Everything that our forefathers built will be destroyed forever. Our civilization, our race, even the memory of us will be gone. We must deal with these dangers, and we must deal with them now.

I know that this is a frightening challenge for most of you. I know how most of you have been conditioned by the media to fear the label

Provocations and diversions

NICK GRIFFIN looks at the anti-nationalist ploys of a corrupt system

“WHAT proportion of the growing US militia movement is now composed of government informants? A tenth? A fifth? A third? It is hard to tell, but events over the past few months have shown that the self-styled ‘patriot’ groups are deeply penetrated by the authorities. It is difficult to know whether an armed militia is an authentic group of citizens or a front organisation of the federal agencies, or a cross between the two.”

So began a recent article by Ambrose Evans-Pritchard, an unusually honest journalist who writes a regular and informative column on the instability of the American Empire in the *Sunday Telegraph*. He went on to discuss the growing number of cases where militia members have been entrapped by federal agents and informers. Of particular note was the revelation that a key militia leader, ‘Colonel’ John Parsons, was on the FBI payroll, receiving \$1,775 a month to help cover the costs of his Tri-States Militia in South Dakota. Evans-Pritchard told us that:-

“A former truck driver, Parsons was at the hub of the nationwide militia network. His outfit had a National Information Centre equipped with faxes and computers to maintain contact with 900 militia groups around the country. The FBI even paid him an extra \$500 a week to go on a militia tour of the South-west.”

The article continued with the observation that the sudden growth of the militia movement in 1994 caught the US authorities off-guard, but that government efforts to avoid further heavy-handed repression such as the Waco massacre had calmed things down to some extent, as had a nationwide FBI ‘charm offensive’ to establish relationships with local militia leaders, and a parallel drive to infiltrate as many of the rebellious groups as possible.

Global tactic

Nor is this pattern of large-scale police action against nationalists and white separatists confined to the USA, although naturally enough it takes different forms in the different circumstances of individual countries. While the general trend in the US is to use police informers to “calm things down,” the authorities in Canada used an undercover spy, Grant Bristow, to try to provoke the Heritage Front into illegal activities which would provide the excuse for a widespread clampdown on white patriots, including veteran revisionist Ernst Zundel, whom they hoped to be able to deport to a lengthy stretch in prison in Germany.

Another approach has recently come to light in France, where it has emerged that the desecration of a Jewish cemetery in Carpentras, which made world-wide headlines back in 1990, was organised by a serving police officer – apparently with the collusion of his superiors – in order to discredit the *Front National*, which was falsely blamed for the attack. Even more cynical was the long-

running campaign by two senior East German Communist officials, both of them Jewish, to create a mythical ‘threat’ of a Nazi revival in West Germany in order to discredit the Western allies’ puppet government there. According to German-Jewish historian Dr. Michael Wolffsohn in his book *Die Deutschland-Akte* (‘The Germany File’): “The strategic head of the staged anti-Semitic actions was Albert Norden, a rabbi’s son.”

Norden was chief of East Germany’s propaganda machine while his fellow Jewish hoaxer, Markus Wolf, was for 33 years the boss of the *Stasi*, the notorious East German secret police. For nearly thirty years, this pair organised the production and distribution of fake anti-Jewish pamphlets and stickers, arranged swastika daubings and organised demonstrations by crank right-wing extremists in West Germany.

American Democrats, Canadian and French Socialists, Jewish Communists – all find it necessary to organise undercover police work and ‘dirty tricks’ against genuine nationalists. Yet many British Nationalists still find it difficult to believe that ‘our’ Establishment would use the same tactics against the movement in Britain. This touching but badly misplaced faith in the honesty of our masters has its roots in two twin misconceptions. The first is the feeling that our operations – the four or five-strong leafletting team trudging around a council estate in the evening drizzle, the monthly meeting of a two dozen supporters in the back room of a run-down pub, the couple of per cent in a council by-election – are so insignificant that the Powers-That-Be really wouldn’t be worried enough by them to take any action against us. The second is the belief that, even if such action were deemed necessary, the British Establishment wouldn’t sink as low as the ‘lesser breeds without the law’, those excitable foreigners of whom we read in the overseas news pages in our papers.

In fact, far from lagging behind other governments in the use of spies and black propaganda against internal opponents, the British state has for decades led the field in the development and implementation of such secret manipulation and subversion. A key figure in this is Major-General Frank Kitson, whose *Low Intensity Operations - Subversion, insurgency and peacekeeping* is recognised worldwide as a classic contribution to the theory of how to preserve the stability of liberal capitalist ‘democracy’ in the face of political and physical challenges from dissidents of various hues.

After entering the army in Germany in the last days of World War Two, Kitson first earned his reputation as an anti-insurgency expert during the campaign against the Mau Mau terrorists in Kenya. Although only 26 at the time, he developed a totally new tactic against his opponents, organising his own Africans and converted captured Mau Mau into pseudo-terrorist gangs. Often ‘blacking-up’ and leading his scruffily dressed men into the bush himself, Kitson would make contact with

genuine Mau Mau bands who invariably learned too late that their new found ‘comrades’ were in fact a well-armed group of British loyalists.

Kitson went on to serve in Malaya, where he learnt more about the political background to insurgency and the way in which a large part of the battle against it was careful intelligence gathering and preparation before hostilities actually began. By 1968, Kitson was so highly regarded that he was given the job of studying the student uprising in Paris and developing a plan to defeat any similar development in Britain. A few years later he got the chance to test and develop further his theories in real life as Head of Military Intelligence in Northern Ireland. Also in the early 1970s he made a series of recommendations to the Heath Government calling for specialised army units to ‘fight subversion’ in Britain, urging greater defence spending on counter-subversion and advocating the immediate establishment of a supreme council of various military and civil service officials to coordinate the suppression of ‘extremists.’

Dirty tricks supremo

A few years later, Kitson was put in charge of training at the Warminster School of Infantry and his works were also regarded as key texts for students at the secret dirty tricks Psyops department based at Old Sarum, near Salisbury. Following the publication of leaked documents in the *Irish Times* in 1977, the MoD admitted that over three years Psyops had trained 262 civil servants and 1,858 army officers in psychological warfare operations for internal purposes and to oppose ‘subversive’ groups. The documents revealed ‘vital’ plans to disseminate propaganda designed to discredit anti-Establishment groups, and to use infiltrators to create both divisions and provocations to fuel media smear campaigns. The Psyops Committee running the show included representatives of the Home Office, Special Branch and the heads of army intelligence.

The leaked documents defined psyops as “activities in peace and war directed towards enemy, friendly and neutral audiences in order to create attitudes and behaviour favourable to the achievement of political and military objectives.” Among the tactics laid out was the selection of “possible target audiences” for initial probing for vulnerabilities, which, it was suggested, could include fake publications, the spreading of rumours and attempts to discredit key individuals. It was noted that “correctly employed in the psychological field, the unit is capable of achieving results out of all proportion to its size” and that “strategic psywar pursues long-term and mainly political objectives.”

Now, if all this were intended for use against the genuine enemies of Britain and their terrorist wings, we would have very little to worry about. But in addition to the frequent references to the use of such tricks in peacetime Britain in the leaked documents, Kitson’s own *Low Intensity*



Cui bono? Hoax "racial attacks" are used to discredit nationalists

Operations makes it quite clear that the lessons of Kenya and Malaya are intended to be applied much closer to home. Look for a start at his sweeping definition of 'subversion':-

"Subversion, then, will be held to mean all measures short of the use of armed force taken by one section of the people of a country to overthrow those governing the country at the time or to force them to do things they do not want to do. It can involve the use of political and economic pressure, strikes, protest marches and propaganda."

When he wrote all this, Kitson believed that the army would have dealt with the IRA before the end of the 1970s, but he noted that:-

"Even so there are other potential trouble spots within the United Kingdom which might involve the army in operations of a sort against political extremists who are prepared to resort to a considerable degree of violence to achieve their ends. It is difficult for the British with their traditions of stability to imagine disorders arising beyond the powers of the police to handle, but already there are indications that such a situation could arise, and this at a time of apparently unrivalled affluence."

And, it must be remembered, the recurrent theme throughout all of Kitson's work is the need to deal with 'extremists' and 'insurgents' long before the struggle becomes violent. His ideal situation is one in which undercover work by the security forces keeps the dissidents so divided and demoralised that they are not able to mount an effective challenge against the *status quo* in the first place. Kitson also repeatedly urged his high-ranking pupils to learn from the federal authorities in the USA, pointing to their long record of breaking up 'extremist' - i.e. white separatist and Black Power - groups through infiltration and COINTELPRO dirty tricks operations as an example to be followed.

Of course, the overwhelming concern of Kitson and his fellow intelligence workers in the 1970s was the menace of Soviet expansionism and the home-grown Marxist fifth column which had seized control of so many key positions in the trade union movement. But however real the Soviet threat was in those days it is equally certain that it is now gone forever. Trotskyite fanatics may still exercise

a disproportionate influence in some unions and a nominally Communist government may yet come to power in the ruins of Yeltsin's Russia, but union power is doomed to carry on shrinking as our industrial base continues to collapse in the face of global free trade, and the National Communists of the Eastern Bloc are related in little more than name to the alien Bolshevik regimes which enjoyed so much support from liberal Western intellectuals and the controlled media in the past. The 'Red menace' which played such a vital role in Kitson's worldview is dead. The court historians of liberalism have declared that the victory of capitalism marks the 'End of History.' All that remains is for the multi-nationals to complete carving up the global market, for the banks formally to take possession of the assets of all the nations sinking in a quagmire of unrepayable debt, to shift the United Nations HQ to Jerusalem, and for everybody except a self-chosen Few to settle down to a deracinated, mud-coloured future as citizens of the Global Village.

Dealing with dissent

Now, it is quite possible that the good Major-General himself isn't exactly in favour of all the horrors implicit in these developments, but what he thinks now is irrelevant. He has retired, but the thousands of civil servants, police chiefs and intelligence bosses imbued with his tactics for dealing with dissent are the men and butch women with the job of keeping the British ship of state from hitting the rocks as it enters the ever-stormier waters of GATT and Asian economic supremacy.

Even if the lower ranking crew and the passengers of SS Great Britain were full of confidence in their captain and officers, the thousands of well-paid members of the intelligence services would have to invent 'threats' in order to justify their own handsome salaries and little secret empires. If we didn't exist they would have to invent us. And, of course, the crew and passengers are most definitely *not* happy about the course on which they are headed. Our officers - the politicians - are detested; even as people prepare to vote one lot out and another lot in, everybody knows that the only thing which will

change is the hue of the snouts in the Westminster trough. Their multi-racial experiment is recognised as a failure by virtually everyone outside a small, incestuous clique of media hacks and equality enforcers; fear of appearing unfashionable or of being dragged before the courts keeps most people silent, but the simmering resentment and hatred is none the weaker for that. The only people loathed more than the politicians are the banks whose interest they serve, while the rest of us serve them with interest and on soaring and unpayable debts.

Meanwhile the police and the courts have forfeited their traditional support through decades of weakness and surrender, favouring the offender at the expense of the victim, pandering to noisy and violent minorities, pursuing the motorist with the defective brake light while letting the mugger off scott-free and telling the distraught owners of burgled houses that the only thing that can be done is to fit stronger locks. And underlying it all is the demographic crisis - the result of fifty years of penal taxation of the family, anti-white guilt propaganda, feminism, the glorification of homosexuality and selfish individualist materialism - which means that a rapidly shrinking workforce must be taxed more and more in a vain effort to finance an ever-growing number of pensions and ever-greater demands on the Health Service.

To be honest, if the security and intelligence services of the 'democratic' British state weren't aware that all this adds up to a situation which is as unstable as that in Eastern Europe in the second half of the 1980s, and if they didn't therefore take strenuous steps to ensure the safety of their paymasters and the continuity of their system, they would be guilty of gross dereliction of duty and of criminal incompetence. Unfortunately for those of us working for an end to the evils imposed on our race and nation by that system, one thing which its defenders still have drilled into them at the colleges in Hendon, Sandhurst and Warminster is their duty to defend 'democracy' - and nowadays a particularly Politically Correct version of it at that. And we can also be sure that, although far from infallible, they are not incompetent.

Even while many Nationalists plod along in a weary rut, going through the motions of political opposition while complaining that "the average Briton won't wake up until a mob bursts into his living room and rapes his daughter, and even then he'll try to change the channel," the intelligence agencies have already known for years the ease with which the multi-racial experiment could come to a bloody end. Their schoolmaster Kitson saw at first hand how a few scuffles between a few hundred unarmed troublemakers and a few hundred over-excitable unarmed policemen turned largely dormant religious antagonism in the Ulster of 1969 into a sectarian communal war, and he and they are well aware that Belfast is not so very much bigger than many of the former mill-towns in the North of England which are today riven by divisions which go far deeper than a quarrel over transubstantiation and which are far more obvious than how you pronounce the letter 'h'. Equally, the sudden bursts of electoral support for the NF

Cont. overleaf

Cont. from page 13

in the mid-1970s and the BNP in the early 1990s, coupled with the results of more recent polls in well-to-do western European nations as diverse as Italy, Belgium, Austria and France, will have left them in no doubt as to the way in which, even without widespread racial clashes, a few quite attainable successes could create a nationalist bandwagon onto which literally millions of disaffected Britons would be ready to jump.

So what would their actions to nip the nationalist and white racist 'threat' in the bud be likely to be? Well, consider for a moment the forms in which that 'threat' might emerge. First, no-one in regular receipt of factual reports from the streets of our towns and cities – from Peterborough to Preston, from Handsworth to Halifax, and from Tipton to Tower Hamlets – could avoid the conclusion that open racial gang warfare could erupt on a hitherto unprecedented scale at the drop of any one of a number of different kinds of hat.

Conflict

Under such circumstances, police action against the immigrant populations involved would only serve to bring even more of their tightly-knit communities into the conflict; so, even without the constraints imposed by Political Correctness, the police would find it easier to go in hard against the Whites in an effort to restore the peace. Those Whites would as a rule be operating on their own account, with a vague common agenda but with no unified chain of command and no intelligence capability. In tactical terms, Frank Kitson would find them very much like Mau Mau gangs. And his pseudo-gang operation would work against them every bit as well.

It wouldn't involve guns and boot polish this time of course, just one or two intelligence operatives creating an 'extremist' gang or developing an influence over unwitting dupes leading one which already existed. Sensationalist stories planted in the media, as Kitson recommends, would lead isolated groups of young Whites at the sharp end of racial confrontations all over the country to identify with the state pseudo-gang. Its national address and the telephone lines of its key men (however genuine they might be) would rapidly become magnets, attracting all the most extreme and most disaffected whites. This would provide the intelligence which, as Kitson's works constantly reiterate, needs to be collected long in advance of any actual trouble if anti-insurgency operations are to be successful.

With careful handling, such an operation would also provide the state with an ideal vehicle for spreading the disruptive rumours which Kitson and the Psyops Committee consider so important for keeping potential dissident groups divided and demoralised. While attacks by the national media might have an effect on loose supporters they tend to be ignored by hardcore nationalists who 'know the score', but smears apparently originating from within the movement are far more likely to be believed.

As already noted, the other area in which the multi-racial system could come under threat is through the ballot box. Nationalists in various

European countries have shown that the kind of local successes enjoyed by the BNP in East London can spread like a forest fire to give between 20 and 30 per cent of the popular vote nationwide, even before the full extent of the impoverishment of the West has begun to strike home. For all the populist talk about how the 'Euro-Nationalists' have 'cleaned up their act' and 'presented a moderate face', they have in fact still had to contend with a barrage of allegations of 'fascism' and 'nazism' in the mass media. Le Pen has been widely publicised as denying the 'Holocaust', Haider as praising the character of the men of the Waffen SS, and so on.

A more accurate assessment of the reason for their advances is that circumstances only have to be a little more desperate before large numbers of perfectly ordinary people decide that they simply don't care if someone is a 'nazi' if he will only get them out of the hole dug by the old system. The key isn't 'respectability', but **credibility** – a fact shown decisively by the surge in support for the party well-known for deeply unrespectable stunts such as smoke-bombing Al Sharpton and for organising the rout of a Marxist/immigrant march in Southwark, as soon as it became clear that Derek Beackon actually had a chance of winning in Millwall.

And a key constituent in credibility in the eyes of the public is **unity**. A party or a cause which is perceived as being riven by factions or split into mutually hostile groups invariably gets short shrift both from potential activists and from the electorate.

Hence, if a group of intelligence operatives reared on the teachings of Kitson and Co. were to devise a plan to disrupt the potential electoral advance of an anti-Establishment political party, one of its main aims would be to foster the divisions which, as they have been taught correctly, are so damaging. In a party with a typical democratic committee-style leadership this would in all probability require no more than a few nudges to

encourage ambitious individuals to strive to get control through faction-building and stabbing their erstwhile colleagues in the back. The use of actual agents might be necessary, but it is just as likely that an organisation with such a structure would, even without such assistance, repeatedly dissipate its energies in the internal power-struggles made inevitable by its constitution.

External attack

With the British National Party, on the other hand, a different approach would be necessary. Since its constitution was designed precisely to overcome such a problem, the appearance of disunity must be provided from outside its ranks. With this in mind it would be only reasonable to expect a small rival 'Nationalist' splinter-group to be either directed or subtly incited (perhaps by way of funding) to stand candidates in areas where the BNP would otherwise be expected to do well. The damage done by such stunts would not be confined to the actual hardcore votes lost to the other group; perhaps worse still would be the effect on the morale of less committed sympathisers: 'If they can't even get organised enough to avoid splitting their vote, how on earth can they expect to get anywhere? And why should I bother to go out and vote for them?'

Do our enemies in the Establishment's security organisations need to pull such stunts against British Nationalists? Without a doubt. Do they have a set of plans proposing such operations? As we have seen, they most definitely do. Have they put those plans into operation? If you can think of groups which fit the bill laid out above, it would seem to be a safe bet. And need we let their aces disrupt our own plans for the future? Why on earth should we? Merely to know that they have these cards in their hand is to be 90 per cent of the way to taking the trick.



Agent provocateur Ray Hill (in white jacket) with young friends in Diksmuide. Are Hill and his masters still behind those trying to disrupt genuine nationalism?

"and the truth shall set you free"

SYLVIA NOBLE recommends David Icke's latest book

DAVID Icke first strayed into "forbidden territory" in his previous book *The Robots' Rebellion*, in which he went so far as to discuss *The Protocols of the Elders of Zion*, although, for obvious reasons, he renamed them "The Illuminati Protocols." Coming from someone of Icke's political convictions, his latest book, *and the truth shall set you free*, is sensational and, with nearly 500 pages, excellent value.

Giants of truth in the exposure of the hidden hand behind world affairs, such as Douglas Reed, Captain A.H. Ramsey and Carroll Quigley, along with many others well known to students of this phenomenon, are well documented. More important still, Icke's work reaches a far wider public than previously had access to this vital information. Although he makes his opposition to nationalism perfectly plain, Icke – who has himself fallen foul of the enemies of free speech – nevertheless treats those whose political views differ from his own with great fairness.

Icke has obviously had a difficult time as a result of all this. He has been accused of 'racism' and, inevitably, of 'anti-Semitism'. He calls such attacks "the diversion technique" – diverting attention from the importance of the message by drawing attention to the messenger's alleged political 'thought-crimes'. Icke accuses the *Jewish Chronicle* of "posturing its morality while lying through its teeth," and his exposure of the controlled media is immensely satisfying, with the *Daily Telegraph*, *Independent* and his previously trusted *Guardian* singled out for special condemnation. His outspoken opposition to the closure of the Japanese magazine *Marco Polo* for raising questions as to the veracity of the orthodox acceptance of the 'Holocaust' is particularly amazing and valuable.

Banksters' con-trick

Also very welcome is his exposure of the debt scam and banking fraud, and the role of puppet governments which collude in this massive confidence trick against the public, which itself doesn't escape criticism for its docile acceptance of its manipulation through the illusion of democracy.

Icke makes in-depth use of the extensive research of Dr. Kitty Little into secret societies. As a result, many people across a wide political spectrum will now learn of Harold Wilson's subversive activities during his time at Oxford as a youth, and of the role of Victor Rothschild in the betrayal of Britain.

In Chapter 13, for example, we read:-

"Dr. Kitty Little said in her submission to the Nolan Enquiry that the intentions set out by the young Harold Wilson in Oxford were transmitted to Moscow and by the end of 1941 a double agent in the Kremlin had given details to Britain's MI5. Although this was circulated to senior MI5 officers no action was taken. During this period, Kitty Little said, the senior subversive infiltrator within British intelligence was Lord Victor

Rothschild, the man who controlled the use of Regulation 18B during the war. He was also named in a 1994 book as the unidentified fifth man in the Burgess-Maclean-Philby-Blunt communist spy scandal, although his involvement in covert activities is believed by those close to the intelligence agencies to have been far, far greater than that.

"Dr. Little has had access to sources at some very high levels over the past 50 years. She says Lord Rothschild was not the fifth man in a KGB spy scandal but instead "the spider at the very centre of a web of infiltration and corruption." Maclean's work at the Foreign Office was to feed geology reports to Guy Rothschild in France, who used them to control essential resources, especially uranium. According to Dr. Little, the Rothschilds now control 80% of the world's uranium. This spider's web of corruption within the British establishment was not about spying for the Soviet Union but to further the ambitions of the New World Order. Harold Wilson's nameless subversion organisation had (has) three sections: Political, Economic, and Biological. The head of the Biological section and the overall controller until his death was Lord Victor Rothschild.... Who is it today?"

It is clear that Britain has been and continues to be betrayed at the very highest levels. In Harold Wilson we had a man who belonged to a secretive, subversive anti-British organisation who rose to become Prime Minister, while the entire life of Victor Rothschild – a pillar of the Establishment – was an assault upon his adopted country. One can only conclude that subversion and treason are prerequisites for political advance in Britain.

Icke goes on to disclose the involvement of the FBI, CIA and many high profile political names in international drug-dealing, corruption, money-laundering and assassination. He maintains that the collapsed BCCI bank was set up specifically as a money laundering operation. Bill Clinton and most former US presidents are accused of duplicity and acts of criminality and of deliberately working against the interests of their nation. His attention is particularly focused on Henry Kissinger, internationalist *extraordinaire*, whose exploits feature prominently throughout the book.

Free trade, GATT, the European Union, United Nations, Club of Rome, Trilateral Commission and the sinister Bilderberg Group all come under the microscope, fitting together like pieces of a jigsaw in a global vision of a nightmare world of asset strippers, political spivs, thieves and liars of cataclysmic proportions. Readers on the idealistic liberal-left will lose their rose-tinted spectacles when ingesting the full horror to which world events are rapidly moving, for example the way in which the Third World is poised to have its unrepayable debt burden relieved – at the price of handing over its land and resources to the Banksters, as is already happening to Mexico following the contrived collapse of its economy.

Icke pinpoints the subversive psychological technique employed in the deliberate creation of

chaos in hitherto stable societies in three words: Problem – Reaction – Solution. First, the creation of the problem, then the inevitable reaction and finally the imposition of the solution already waiting in the wings. Observers of 20th century politics will see how this trick has been played on various societies, including ours.

He foresees the intended collapse of the entire money system and the enforced introduction of a cashless society through the use of computer technology on a future 'global village' of semi-drugged, illiterate zombies. He admits that the aim of modern politics is to destroy all patriotism and sense of national identity, but tactfully refrains from any mention of multi-racialism as a means to this end. Here we have the classic, problem – reaction – solution scenario. At the end of the day, which is rapidly approaching, let nationalists ensure that the solution is of our initiative and not that of the creators of the problem.

Democratic sham

Dictatorship dressed up as democracy for the gulling of a duped electorate angers Icke as much as it does us, and he goes to great lengths to expose the sham. His immediate solution is for the electorate to boycott elections in order to register its disgust at the system, as a first step in taking control of its own destiny. This is a tactic with which, in the absence of a British National Party or anti-European candidate at the next general election, I personally agree.

Despite his previously held political views, Icke has developed a modicum of understanding of the predicament confronting nationalists, a process clearly aided by the vast amount of information which has come his way from nationalist sources. Meanwhile, information which he has picked up from other individuals and groups has also helped him to grasp the sort of games our mutual enemies play. On page 127, for instance, he makes the following revelation:-

"In Britain, I am told by an extremely reliable source very close to the intelligence organisations that the 'far right' Combat 18 group is a front for the sinister Anti-Defamation League, the United States arm of the Israeli secret service, Mossad. The Anti-Defamation League (ADL) has been operating in Britain and Europe since at least 1991 and its role is to brand as 'anti-Semitic' anyone who is getting close to the truth of what is going on."

This old trick is clearly now being used against Icke, just as it has long been used against us. He does not share our nationalism, let alone our policy of repatriation, but, like us, he calls for monetary reform and the removal of the issue of currency from private hands. **And that, of course, is the real 'sin' in the eyes of the Powers That Be.**

"and the truth shall set you free"
by David Icke.

Published by Bridge of Love Publications,
Ryde, Isle of Wight. £ 10.95

ELLEN STRACHAN examines the tactics of **Internationalism in the 1990s**

INTERNATIONALISM — the drive to destroy nations, races and cultures — has raised its head several times in recorded history.

It could be argued that Christianity was the first internationalism, spawned out of the "insignificant land of Judea." The most dangerous example of its universalist tendencies was the policy of Hildebrand, who, on becoming Pope during the reign of William the Conqueror, commanded that all mankind must be embraced in a single society. Disobedience to this recipe for miscegenation was deemed to be disobedience to God. Fortunately, William refused to submit, thus preserving the Nordic racial identity of Britain and, in consequence, all the lands later settled by pioneers of our stock.

Communism was the second internationalism and, after drenching much of the world in innocent blood, is now clearly seen to have failed. So the new, third internationalism is the free market. The tactics are different, as is the theoretical justification, but the final goal remains the same: the destruction of the nation state and cultural and racial identity.

What communism tried to achieve by force of arms, global capitalism is trying to achieve through the free market. We are being pushed towards a communal land-mass, communal economy and communal police/military, all in the name of the European Union and the New World Order.

Boundaries between countries are being abolished, to allow the unrestricted movement of money, goods and people. The European Union is intended to stretch much further than the historical and racial boundaries of Europe. For example, Turkey is being considered for membership and countries such as Nigeria are already associate members.

In any case, the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) is rapidly internationalising trade and allowing non-EU countries to export to Europe so easily that the only practical effect of the EU at all is surrender of national sovereignty to Brussels. GATT commits us to free trade in any case, so the EU is nothing more and nothing less than a step on the road to One World Government.

Dangerous step

In spite of Tory back-bench opposition to the more blatant parts of this process, the vast majority of all MPs are committed to internationalism. The selling-off of British industry to foreign companies is thoroughly internationalist. It is not just about profits and dividends, it is also part of the effort to



The EU -- a step on the road to One World Government

prevent Britain, or any other nation, being self-sufficient. Other aspects of this programme are: industrial specialisation so that no single country can produce vital high-tech machinery, and the joint international production of military hardware, so that we cannot fight a war without the approval of all the countries supplying part of our military machine. All such international ties ensure that a nation which fails to go along with the drive to internationalism can be crippled by sanctions.

Margaret Thatcher's emasculation of the trade unions was also done in the interests of internationalism. Trade unions stand in the way of competition for jobs worldwide. They prevent the lowering of wages and working conditions to the lowest common denominator — a trend which is both the demand and the result of international free trade — so they had to go.

In the run-up to the 1979 general election Thatcher promised to stem the flood of immigrants. This pledge was immediately broken, with both legal and illegal immigration running at a rate of hundreds of thousands every year throughout the life of the Tory Government. Margaret Thatcher additionally demonstrated her dedication to internationalism by enmeshing Britain even further in the EU.

The last seventeen years have also seen the Conservatives shift rapidly towards accepting previously extreme 'left wing' ideologies of anti-racism, militant feminism and homosexual 'rights'. As the term 'politically correct' implies, these have become so mainstream that it is taboo to question them. The reason free-market internationalists continue to push for protective legislation for perverts is not because they care deeply about their plight (indeed, they create

misfits where there are none, by convincing people that they are minorities suffering outrageous discrimination at the hands of a prejudiced majority). Part of the reason is that groups such as homosexuals have a strong group loyalty and high disposable incomes, making it profitable for companies to appeal to them. But more important to the people pushing political correctness is the way their various causes encourage national division. A population that is homogeneous and stable poses great difficulties for the internationalists — they are less likely to accept profitable global 'culture' and, even worse, have a mass identity and a national pride which they will not easily give up. The internationalists want people with no pride in their roots or in themselves, people who therefore do not care if they are merged with the rest of the world.

Useful idiots

On the other side of the Tweedledum/Tweedledee division in British politics, the transformation of old into 'New' Labour follows the realisation by internationalists the world over that global free trade is a more useful tool than communism. Those who still lag behind with old Labour attitudes are 'useful idiots', whose presence helps makes the internationalism of the whole political scene slightly less blatant.

This development makes one wonder about the collapse of the Iron Curtain, which had become a hindrance to more efficient free market internationalism. Communism had failed in its objective of world domination, so the communist dictators were surplus to the

requirements of the powerful forces which had once buttressed them, and the Iron Curtain came down. On the other hand, the collapse of their unnatural system was inevitable anyway.

Whatever the reasons, the way is now clearer for an internationalist One World Government, where all serious wealth will be concentrated in the hands of a tiny minority of international capitalists. They will be able to move their business around the world at will, employing either slave labour or machinery, whichever is the cheaper and more reliable. The vast majority of people will be completely surplus to their requirements, so there will be no welfare system to look after them.

It is interesting to compare this outlook with the scenario of life as predicted a few decades ago in the popular science programme *Tomorrow's World*. This looked forward to a time of an abundance of leisure time for all, with menial tasks performed by robots. For this prediction to have come true, it is worth noting that nationalisation of industry (*or the abolition of bank usury and the creation of a production-based financial system—Ed.*) would have been essential. In such a way, the profits and material goods produced by the high-tech factories would be available to the population itself, not confined to the individual capitalist. If such individuals can replace humans with machines, there is no reason for them to support all the redundant workers.

Cheaper than robots

In fact, it now appears that sweated labour will be cheaper than robots, as there are billions of Chinese and other Asiatics who will work for pennies a day. By subscribing to GATT, Britain has committed economic suicide. Even if an unusually patriotic company wanted to continue to produce its wares in Britain, it would quickly be driven to the wall by its competitors who were prepared to transfer

production abroad. The same is true in every previously independent nation in the world.

Islam, although another universalist and multi-racial force in its own right, could be considered an obstruction to the schemes of the materialist internationalists. Hence the use of the controlled media and bought-politicians of the West to brand Islamic countries 'outlaw nations' and 'terrorist states'. Their real crime is their rejection of the One World mono-culture, which seeks to seduce or regiment the world into a uniform, and hence profitable, state of commercial blandness. The internationalists clearly intend that at some point the resistance of the Islamic nations will be broken, so that they can be absorbed into the New World Order.

In the meantime, our own subjugation is proceeding much more rapidly. It is not just a matter of institutions such as the EC, the Commission for Racial Equality and so on; the very way in which we view the world is being manipulated. In recent years the coverage of current affairs by the mass media has shifted towards reporting carefully selected world events as if happenings in distant foreign countries are of the utmost relevance to the British public. It seems that news coverage the world over is converging on an agreed set of stories, which are presented in the same way in many different countries.

Events which tend to undermine internationalist aims such as multi-racial integration are ignored by the media as far as possible. Examples of this are the rapidly rising level of violence in South Africa under the ANC, and the ethnic wars in various parts of central Africa and Asian Russia. These are far more newsworthy items than Fergie's 'new look' or the antics of President Clinton's cat.

Another trend is to encourage us to identify with individual members of other races. A typical case was the orphan girl from Sierra Leone who was flown to Britain to have a bullet

removed from her head. The gesture was contrived to show us that the suffering of one African child in a remote civil war is so important that we should treat her as if she was one of us.

Similarly, a fatal train crash in India is reported in much the same way as if it had happened in Inverness. And a riot thousands of miles away, for instance among Vietnamese refugees in Hong Kong, is shown in detail on our TV screens. A riot by Asians in Birmingham, however, in which white-owned shops were singled out and firebombed, is ignored except in the local paper.

National interest


Of course, it is only proper that we are told what is happening in foreign countries when those events have some bearing on our own national interest. And it is only natural that we have a degree of anthropological curiosity even over events which have nothing to do with us. But the deliberate internationalisation of our news coverage is as much a part of the drive to destroy our identity and independence as are the provisions of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade.

All the examples cited show how politics in the West is now overwhelmingly internationalist in its ideology. All the major political parties in Britain subscribe to internationalism, even when electoral convenience makes them put on a show of nationalism, such as John Major's absurd 'Beef War' with his fellow EU internationalists. Ultimately, the politicians must convince the public that international integration is in the national interest. It is incredible that anyone can be taken in by such schizophrenic nonsense, and the growth of hostility to the old internationalist politicians in nation after nation suggests that more and more people are not.

WORTHY OF NOTE

"One should be clear that in referring to the 'state propaganda apparatus' here I do not mean that it comes from the state. Our system differs strikingly from, say the Soviet Union, where the propaganda system literally (was) directed and controlled by the state. We're not a society which has a Ministry of Truth which produces doctrine and which everyone must obey at a severe cost if you don't. Our system works much differently and much more effectively. It's a privatized system of propaganda, including the media, the journals of opinion and in general including the broad participation of the articulate intelligentsia, the educated part of the population. The more articulate of these groups, the ones who have access to the media, including intellectual journals, and who essentially control the educational apparatus, they should properly be referred to as a class of 'commissars'. That's their essential function: to design, propagate and create a system of doctrines and beliefs which will undermine independent thought and prevent understanding and analysis of institutional structures and their functions. That's their social role. I don't mean to say they're conscious of it. In fact, they're not. In a really effective system of indoctrination the commissars are quite unaware of it and believe that they themselves are independent, critical minds. If you investigate the actual productions of the media, journals of opinion, etc., you find exactly that. It's a very narrow, very tightly constrained account of the world in which we live."

-- Professor Noam Chomsky, *Chronicles of Dissent*.



SIR: Thank you for sending the back issue of *Spearhead* (May 1996) which I ordered recently. It's good to see that there's still some decency and proper service around in a Britain now dominated by commercial spivs and shysters. The essays by Ian Holmes and Robert Colby were excellent and I also liked the lengthy Sir Oswald Mosley excerpts.

The BNP is the last hope for this country. I recently read somewhere that the only three areas of growth in the otherwise moribund British economy are security, fake dating services on 0891 numbers and training (generally for jobs which are about to be exported to India and other low wage Asian economies). This is indeed the eleventh hour.
IAN BUCKLEY
Merseyside

SIR: Presumably 'The Turning of the Page' (*Spearhead* No.329) is intended to be a poem, but frankly, and notwithstanding my reluctance to denigrate the work of a fellow Nationalist, it is rubbish.

Since the collapse, circa 1960s, of the formal system of teaching English in schools, no-one who was so unfortunate as to find himself at school then has been able to write well, neither in prose or poetry. So called 'Free Verse' is neither.

The Poetry Guild were kind enough to publish a poem of mine in their anthology *Symphonies of the Soul*. Entitled 'Free Verse', a couple of stanzas will explain all:

The bard who is to rhyme averse
Finds rhythm infinitely worse
And content something of a curse
Has no recourse but to Free Verse

Who cannot navigate the sea
Of lexis, syntax, imagery
Must founder, in all honesty
And drowning, clutch the straw, Verse
Free.

So, would-be poet, learn your trade
Before your ink on paper's laid
A spurious form that soon will fade
Free Verse is language disarrayed.

NICHOLAS CHARNLEY
Blackpool, Lancs

Spearhead replies: While inclined to agree with Mr. Charnley's suggestion that the use of "free verse", partic-

ularly by 'trendy' leftist 'poets', is often a cover for laziness and lack of skill, we felt that many of the turns of phrase in 'The Turning of the Page' are magnificently evocative and truly poetic – far superior to the rhyming doggerel which has sometimes been offered as 'poetry' in nationalist circles. The same is true of many of Mr. Parr's other pieces, some of which we hope to publish in future issues.

Although long neglected and often regarded as 'old-fashioned', poetry is becoming increasingly popular in Britain once again, particularly among young people. Nationalism, with its intimate links with romanticism and the pursuit of beauty, is far better suited to promotion through poetry than either the dull egalitarianism of Marxism or the grey money-worship of capitalism. The growing enthusiasm for poetry is therefore something which can be of considerable value to our side in the Battle of Ideas. Accordingly, *Spearhead* will be delighted to receive for possible publication other poetic offerings, whether metred or free verse.

Sir: In the space of 24 hours I came across the following pieces of poison from BBC Radio Four:-

1) The station congratulated the Queen Mother on inviting an African terrorist for tea on her 96th birthday. I was reminded of Sir James Gunn's famous portrait of George VI and family at tea in 1950, which portrayed a focus of love and respect for the whole nation. Now, after a lifetime of sitting on the fence, the Queen Mother has joined her grandson down on the Devil's side!

2) The station gleefully informed us that a TV soap is depicting the "last taboo" – incest. Actually it's the last-but-one – there's still bestiality – but don't think that they won't get round to that as well.

3) In an introduction to an interview with Nicholas Mosley there were highly derogatory remarks about his father, Sir Oswald Mosley. The people running the mass media will never forgive this great man for standing up to them in favour of the true interests of the British people.

4) Similar hatred also came through in an attack on the "traitor" John Amery, in fact a great patriot who realised that Communism was the enemy. A "traitor" to a corrupt and anti-British regime maybe, but a hero and martyr for his race and nation.
M.F. INGRAMS
Orpington, Kent

SIR: The media have had a field day debating the rights and wrongs of Miss Mandy Allwood's plan to give birth to eight children by means of fertility drugs, but in all this discussion and analysis the most important issue of all has been ignored.

Miss Allwood apparently shares her black lover with another woman – an arrangement that she has so far accepted but which it seems she would now like to change by way of her planned big brood getting for her a more exclusive commitment from the father. She was previously married but this union ended in divorce when she took up with her present beau.

The lady, at the same time, has not been slow to appreciate the money-making potentialities of her situation. It has been reported that the *News of the World* has agreed to pay her from £100,000 to £350,000 for her story on a sliding scale depending on how many little bastards she eventually has. She has even engaged top publicity agent Max Clifford to exploit to the very fullest extent the lucrative possibilities of her recently acquired fame.

The dad-to-be is Paul Hudson, described as a bankrupt property dealer. He sleeps alternative nights with Mandy and with one Maria Edwards, by whom he has two young sons. Even his own mother has condemned him as a "shameless opportunist" obsessed with money. It appears that it was he who arranged the deal with the *News of the World*.

And the most important issue that no paper has so far talked about? Simply this: while Britain's most valuable citizens – skilled, hard-working, intelligent and responsible members of the indigenous population – are reproducing at below replacement level, modern science and loony laws combine to facilitate the breeding, eightfold, of the genes of people like these!

B.J. COX
Haywards Heath, Sussex

SIR: A new Fabian Society pamphlet urges sweeping changes in the status of royalty in Britain. These would include the transfer of the Queen's remaining political powers to the Speaker of the House of Commons, a referendum on the Monarchy every ten years and the scrapping of the National Anthem and its replacement by a new one, probably written by Andrew Lloyd Webber. Also included is the idea of a separate anthem just for England.

The nation is disintegrating. Our industry has been gutted. We have become a satellite of the EC. Our cities are been torn apart by soaring crime, civil disorder and race conflict. Our educational system is a shambles. The British family is being destroyed. Our national defences are inadequate to cope with a banana-boat invasion. Yet this pamphlet is the Fabian Society's idea of a 'relevant' contribution to the solution of our national problems.

'Ivory tower' is an under-statement. These people live in outer space!
P. BRISCOE
Darlington, Co. Durham

* **AGRICOLA and GERMANIA (Tacitus) £6.00.** The great Roman historian describes our Celtic and German ancestors in about 100 AD. Two books in one volume. This ed. 1970, 175pp.

AMERICA'S DECLINE: THE EDUCATION OF A CONSERVATIVE (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.50. A collection of articles and reviews on various aspects of the collapse of modern civilisation. 1981, 375pp.

* **ANGLO-SAXONS, THE (ed Campbell) £15.00.**

A handsome, well-illustrated book covering the history of England and the culture of its people from the 4th to 11th century, 1982 rep. 1991, 272pp

APOCALYPSE 1945: THE DESTRUCTION OF DRESDEN (David Irving), £15.00. An updated version of the 1963 account of this real "war crime." 1995, xiv, 315pp.

BABYLONIAN WOE, THE (David Astle) £17.00. A study of the origin of certain financial practices and their effects on the events of ancient history, written in the light of the present day. 1975, 250pp.

* **BEGINNINGS OF ENGLISH HISTORY, THE (Dorothy Whitelock) £6.99.** Describes Anglo-Saxon culture and organisation and relations with the Celts between the leaving of the Romans and the coming of the Normans, 1952, this ed. 1991, 256pp.

BELL CURVE (Richard J. Herrnstein & Charles Murray) £25.00. This book, which has aroused enormous controversy, deals with differences in intellectual capacity among people and groups. Although aimed primarily at an American audience it has world wide significance. 1994, xxvi, 845pp.

BEST OF ATTACK AND NATIONAL VANGUARD, THE £12.00. A selection of hard-hitting nationalist articles published between 1970 and 1982 by the National Alliance. Essential reading. 217 large pages.

BIOLOGY OF THE RACE PROBLEM, THE (Prof. W.C. George) £1.00. The race equality hoax destroyed by an academic expert. 70pp.

BOUND TO FAIL (Sundry authors) £2.00. A series of articles by various experts covering every aspect of Britain's disastrous membership of the EEC. 1987, 62pp.

BRITAIN'S FUTURE: THE MEANING OF THE MAASTRICHT TREATY (Prof. Stephen Bush) £1.25. A strong plea for British independence from EC bureaucracy. 1990 (rep. 1993), 24pp.

BRITISH ISLES, THE (Hugh Kearney) £7.95. Subtitled 'A history of four nations' this is an outline of British history by an academic historian stressing the role of the non-English parts of the British Isles. 1989, 324pp.

CAMP OF THE SAINTS, THE (Jean Raspail) £5.00. A welcome reissue of this sensational novel about the destruction of the white race by Asian immigrant invasion. 1973, 311pp.

CASE FOR DAVID IRVING, THE (Nigel Jackson) £12.50. A study of the organisations and individuals seeking to suppress the historian David Irving's freedom to travel and communicate and of the smear campaign against him. The book relates largely to Mr. Irving's efforts to get into Australia. 1994, xi, 208pp.

CELTS - FIRST MASTERS OF EUROPE, THE (Christine Eluere) £6.95. A richly illustrated account of the arts and military achievements of this remarkable people in its pre-Roman and pre-Germanic heyday. 1992, 175pp.

CENSORED HISTORY (Eric Butler) £4.00. An examination of some of the facts of recent history that have not found their way into textbooks or newspapers. A welcome return to print. 1974, 48pp.

CHURCHILL'S GRAND ALLIANCE (John Charmley) £20.00 A study of the Anglo-American relationship 1940-57 showing the disastrous consequences of Churchill's faith in US friendship. 1995, xv, 427pp.

CHURCHILL'S WAR (David Irving) £16.95. The highly controversial story of Britain's World War II leader during the late pre-war and wartime years. This book was shunned by all the 'establishment' publishers on account of its startling disclosures. Churchill-worshippers and believers in the 'orthodox' version of modern history will not like this book, as it describes its

Here is the latest list of our books. Prices given in each case do not include postal charges, which should be estimated by calculating one eighth the total value of the order, except in the case of overseas orders, where those about to order should first enquire. Payment, in sterling only, should be enclosed with all orders. All cheques or postal orders should be made out to the "BNP Book Service" only, and should not be included in remittances sent to associated bodies for other items. Orders are likely to be dealt with more quickly if 'BNP Book Service' is marked on the envelope. Please send all orders to our mail order address:

PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

*New titles are marked with stars **


Please note this fact, which is of great importance: the books sold through the BNP Book Service are written by many different kinds of authors holding a number of different political viewpoints, very few of them being in any way connected with the British National Party. The party's Book Service distributes these books because it is felt that they fill important gaps in public information and understanding of vital national and international issues. This does not mean that all of what is printed in these books should necessarily be taken as representative of the policies of the British National Party. We reserve the right to differ with some of the authors on some parts of their writings.

central character as the man who ruined Britain and the British Empire in his insane vendetta against Hitler. 1987, 591pp.

CHURCHILL: THE END OF GLORY (John Charmley) £30.00. This massive work follows in the footsteps of David Irving in his *Churchill's War* in its demolition of the myth of Churchill as a great national leader. The author is in fact less personally scathing in his treatment of his subject than is Irving, even stating that Churchill was a 'great man'. Nevertheless, the book highlights our war Premier's overweening personal ambition and is particularly critical of his failure to come to an agreement with Hitler after British forces had been defeated in Europe in 1940. A valuable new contribution to revisionist history. 1993, 742pp.

COLLAPSE OF BRITISH POWER, THE (Correlli Barnett) £10.99. A welcome reissue of a vitally important book first published in 1972. Shows how liberalism and lack of political realism brought about Britain's 20th century decline in the political, industrial and military fields. A classic work by a mainstream historian 643pp.

CONSPIRACY OR DEGENERACY? (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00. Text of a lecture by the author, a brilliant classical scholar, to New England rally for God, Family and Country 1966. 76pp.



A French best-seller. Once on sale openly in many bookshops, but now an 'underground' classic. (See this page)

CONTROL OF THE COMMUNICATIONS MEDIA AND CONDITIONING OF THE PUBLIC MIND (Col. B.S. Turner) £9.75. An updated version of articles appearing in *On Target* newsletter. Packed with facts about the ownership of the media and their political bias. 1992, 34pp (A4).

CONTROVERSY OF ZION, THE (Douglas Reed) £14.50. A best seller in nationalist circles ever since its publication. A study of Jewish-Gentile relations since biblical times, packed with little known and long suppressed facts. 1979, 580pp.

CRYING WOLF: HATE CRIME HOAXES IN AMERICA (Laird Wilcox), £13.00. A survey of over 120 cases where "race attacks" have been fabricated for political or financial advantage, 1994, 128, (20)pp.

DEADLIER THAN THE H-BOMB (Leonard Young) £2.00. A reprint of an important work on the money power and its threat to the freedom of mankind. The author was a distinguished RAF officer and active nationalist. 1956, rep. 1992, 72pp.

DID SIX MILLION REALLY DIE? (Richard Harwood) £1.00. The fact-filled pamphlet which strongly refutes the official 'Holocaust' figures. An all-time Revisionist classic. 28pp.

DILEMMA OF INTER-RACIAL RELATIONS Prof. R. Gayre of Gayre) 60p. Concise work which clearly demonstrates the folly of the racial integration being forced on every once white nation in the world. 1966, 21pp.

DISPOSSESSED MAJORITY, THE (Wilmot Robertson) £15.50. This book has attracted worldwide attention, dealing as it does with racial problems in America and not just simply the black-white situation. 2nd edition 1981, 613pp.

ÉLITE, THE (Barbara Cole) £11.75. The exciting story of the Rhodesian Special Air Service and its campaign against terrorism. 1984, 449pp.

FORCED WAR, THE (David L. Hoggan) £21.00. Described by Harry Elmer Barnes as "...the first thorough study of responsibility for the causes of the Second World War...the definitive revisionist work on the subject." 1989, 732pp.

FRENCH REVOLUTION, THE (Nesta Webster) £6.00. A much needed reprint of the counter-revolutionary classic that exposed the plotting behind the first great revolutionary bloodbath of modern history. 1919 (rep. 1988), xiv, 519pp.

FROM ROME TO MAASTRICHT (Brian Burkitt, Mark Baimbridge and Stephen Reed) £1.50. A reappraisal of Britain's membership of the European Community - from the Campaign for an Independent Britain. 1992, 69pp.

GLOBAL TYRANNY... STEP BY STEP (William F. Jasper) £12.50. How the UN is being developed as an omnipotent global government, suppressing national identities and human rights. The author is senior editor of *The New American* and a John Birch Society officer. 1992 xvii, 350pp.

GREAT HOLOCAUST TRIAL, THE (Michael A. Hoffmann II) £4.00. Very comprehensive and well-written report on the infamous trial of Ernst Zundel and freedom of speech and inquiry in Canada. 1985, 95pp.

HIDDEN MENACE TO WORLD PEACE (James Gibb Stuart) £10.00. This latest work by a well-known advocate of monetary reform deals also with secret politics, media censorship and the movement towards a 'new world order'. 1993, 233pp.

HITLER'S WAR (David Irving) £25.00. Revised and abridged version of *The War Path: Hitler's Germany 1933-39* and *Hitler's War* (1977 ed.). 1991, VI, 857pp.

HOAX OF THE TWENTIETH CENTURY, THE (Prof. A.R. Butz) £5.95. A scientist applies clinical methods to an investigation of the 'Holocaust' and dissects a number of popular myths. 2nd ed. 1977, 315pp.

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE OR NEW INQUISITION? (Alexander Baron) £8.50. An independent libertarian researcher defends the rights of holocaust revisionists and exposes the lies of the individuals and organisations seeking to suppress free enquiry on the subject. Packed with facts and quotations. 1994, 179pp.

(Contd. overleaf)

IMPERIUM (Ulrich Varange) £15.00. One of the most important nationalist philosophical books of the post-war period. The author, whose real name was Francis Parker Yockey, rejects Marx, Freud and other 'culture distorters' and demolishes the whole ideology of 'liberalism.' Originally published 1948, 625pp.

I.Q. AND RACIAL DIFFERENCES (Prof. Henry Garrett) £1.20. Clear and concise summary of the evidence of racial differences in intelligence and their significance in education. 1980, 57pp.

IRON CAGE, THE (Nigel Hawthorne) £5.99. An examination of the very real possibility that British P.O.W.'s from WW2 and Korea are still alive in Russia. The author has testified before a US Senate sub-committee on US MIA's (Missing in Action) in Vietnam. 1993, ix, 310pp

IS THERE INTELLIGENT LIFE ON EARTH? (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00. An entertaining survey of modern fallacies and their charlatan promoters. 1983, 94pp.

*** LAST SECRET, THE (Nicholas Bethell) £7.99.** The disgraceful story of how the anti-Communist Cossacks were forcibly handed over to for mass slaughter by the Soviets between 1944 and 1947.

LEMMING FOLK, THE (James Gibb Stuart) £7.95. A witty and factual examination of current attitudes and intellectual fashions that are threatening our future. 1980, 246pp.

LEUCHTER REPORT, THE (Fred A. Leuchter) £5.00. The evidence on 'gas chambers' by an American engineer that rocked the Zundel trial. Leuchter effectively testified that such installations could not have existed in German occupied Europe before or during 1939-45. 1988, 66pp.

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES (Alexander Baron) £4.99. An exposure of the lies and crimes of the *Searchlight* 'mole' Ray Hill and a demolition of his ridiculous book *The Other Face of Terror*. 1994, 128pp.

MATTER OF LIFE OR DEBT, A (Eric de Mare) £4.75. A distinguished architectural historian examines the flaws in our financial and banking system and offers a remedy based on the ideas of C.H. Douglas. 1983, 140pp.

MERRIE ENGLAND, 2000 (Colin Jordan) £1.00. A fictional portrayal of life at the start of the coming century, with white people under the lash of the racial 'harmonisers'. 1993, 39pp.

MONEY BOMB, THE (James Gibb Stuart) £4.25. Discusses the failure of our debt-based financial system and describes its role in creating inflation. 1983, 158pp.

NAKED CAPITALIST, THE (W. Cleon Skousen) £4.80. A study of the political power and ambitions of America's and the world's financial capitalists and of their link-up with communism. 1970, 144pp.

NAMELESS WAR, THE (Capt. A.H.M. Ramsay) £6.50. An outline of the secret history of the events leading up to the Second World War, including information on previous upheavals. The author had the honour of being imprisoned without trial for four years during World War II, despite his status as a member of parliament. Originally published 1952, 128pp.

NATURAL LAW OF RACE RELATIONS (Laszlo F. Thormay) £7.50. An examination of racial tensions in a number of areas, showing that they are based not on hate or bigotry but on natural, universal tendencies. 1993, 145pp.

NEW UNHAPPY LORDS, THE (A.K. Chesterton) £6.00. An exposure of the financial power that seeks to drag mankind into a global police state. 1972, 288pp.

*** ORIGINS OF THE SECOND WORLD WAR (A.J.P. Taylor) £8.99.** One of the most controversial works on the subject ever since its first publication. 1961, this ed 1964, 296pp

POPULISM AND ELITISM (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00. A study of the purpose and function of political power and the elements which wield it in the present century. 1982, 101pp.

RACE (J.R. Baker) £25.00 hardback. The author, a professional zoologist for 50 years, provides an objective and scholarly account of what race means and how the concept has affected human thinking. A specialist book, but one of great importance. 1974, 625pp.

RACE, INTELLIGENCE AND EDUCATION. 50p. Produced by a group of nationalist teachers in reply to an NUT race-mixing booklet. Packed with facts and cogent argument. 1979, 20 A4 pages.

One of the greatest modern American writers slaughters some sacred cows (see this page)

THE USES OF RELIGION

Revilo P. Oliver

RACE AND POLITICS (H.B. Isherwood) 50p. Shows that a sense of racial identity is an essential element of nationhood. 1974, 36pp.

RACE AND REALITY (Carlton Putnam) £4.00. A companion volume and supplement to *Race and Reason*, showing how the truth about the race issue has been suppressed by interested parties. 1967 (rep. 1977), 192pp.

RACE AND REASON (Carlton Putnam) £4.00. A plain, unemotional account of the race issue that has been an invaluable contribution to the debate ever since it was first published. 1961 (rep. 1977), 125pp.

RECONSTRUCTION TRILOGY, THE (Thomas Dixon) £12.00. A reprint of *The Leopard's Spots*, *The Klansman* and *The Traitor*, three great novels dealing with the 'Reconstruction' of the American South after the Civil War as seen from the Southern Whites' point of view. Dixon's novels inspired the epic D.W. Griffith film *Birth of a Nation*, the race mixers' least favourite picture. 1902-1907, rep. 1994, xx, 550pp.

RESPONSE, THE (James Goldsmith) £5.99. The author answers objections from various sources to earlier book *The Trap*. 1995, 203pp.

ROTTEN HEART OF EUROPE, THE (Bernard Connolly) £17.50. A former official of the European Commission exposes the lies and deceit involved in the establishment of the ERM and the dangers involved in European monetary union and European federalism. The book created a sensation on its release last Autumn. 1995, xviii, 427pp.

SEARCHLIGHT ON A SEARCHLIAR (Alexander Baron) £2.99. A detailed, factual and annotated destruction of the pretensions of Gerry Gable, self-styled 'anti-fascist' researcher and editor of *Searchlight* magazine. Shortly after this exposure of Gable's lies, the author was assaulted at his home by a group of thugs. His libel action against *Searchlight* and its distributors has now stopped a number of bookshops stocking Gable's hate-sheet. 1993, 36pp.

SECRET SOCIETIES AND SUBVERSIVE MOVEMENTS (Nesta Webster) £14.00. A standard authority on the most important secret societies undermining civilisation and Christianity in the 200 years up to the 1920s. 1924, rep. 1994, 419pp.

SELF-DETERMINATION? THE QUESTION ULSTER MUST ANSWER (Richard Cameron) £5.99. The author's solution of self-determination for Ulster under the Crown may be contentious, but the book makes plain the neglect and mismanagement to which the province has been subjected by direct rule. 1992, 192pp.

SEXUAL DEAD-END, THE (Stephen Green) £9.95. A factual and scientific description of the threat to personal and social health posed by homosexuality, with an account of the rise of the 'Gay Liberation' movements and 'Gay' activism. This book is not sensationalist but nor is it for the squeamish or easily shocked. 1992, 482pp.

SIX MILLION RECONSIDERED, THE (W. Grimstad) £3.00. Examines not only the 'holocaust' but other topics involved in the Jewish question, including the exploitation of the 'anti-semitic' smear.

SOME TO MECCA TURN TO PRAY (Mervyn Hiskett) £12.95. An examination of Islamic values and tradition with important sections on the relations between Moslems and host societies and Islam and Zionism. 1991, 357pp.

SPEARHEAD BINDER £7.60. Made to hold 12 copies of *Spearhead* magazine. Keep your valuable collection of back-numbers in perfect condition and ready for handy reference.

STALIN'S WAR (Ernst Topich) £13.00. A revisionist view of the origins of World War II by an Austrian professor of philosophy. 1987, 152pp.

STRUGGLE FOR WORLD POWER, THE (George Knupffer) £6.20. The fourth edition of a work by a distinguished Russian author dealing with the communist and financial conspiracies and showing the link between the two. 1986, 240pp.

SURVIVAL OF BRITAIN, THE (Bryan B. Monahan) £7.80. A series of articles from the *Social Creditor* dealing with political and economic threats to Britain's survival and offering solutions to the problem of inflation. 1971, viii, 124pp.

THOUGHT CRIMES: THE KEEGSTRA CASE (Doug Christie) £2.40. The text of Doug Christie's courtroom defence of the persecuted Canadian schoolteacher Jim Keegstra. 1986, 34pp.

*** THUS SPAKE ZARATHUSTRA (Friedrich Nietzsche) £6.99.** The doctrine of racial progress and the development of the Superman. This ed 1969, 343pp

TRAP, THE (Sir James Goldsmith) £7.99. A well-known businessman defends the concept of the nation state and points out the perils of free trade, particularly from the far East. 1994, 216pp.

TREASON AT MAASTRICHT (Rodney Atkinson & Norris McWhirter) £3.50. A complete and detailed exposure of the illegal and unconstitutional action of the Government in signing the Treaty of Maastricht. 1994 123pp.

USES OF RELIGION, THE (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £1.00. Examines the value of religion as a socially cohesive force. 1982, 36pp.

VENTILATIONS (Wilmot Robertson) £4.50. The sequel to the same author's widely acclaimed *The Dispossessed Majority*. Revised edition 1982, 113pp.

VICTIM OF THE HOLOCAUST (Hans Peter Rullman) £3.50. The story of the framing of John Demjanjuk. 1987, 78pp.

VIKING LORDS OF THE SEAS, THE (Yves Cohar) £6.95. A beautifully illustrated book showing that the Vikings were more than simply plunderers. They were explorers and traders with a literature and a system of beliefs. 1992, 175pp.

WALL STREET AND THE BOLSHEVIK REVOLUTION (Anthony C. Sutton) £6.80. The links between Wall Street finance and the Russian Revolution are proved beyond all question by an established scholar. 1974, 228pp.

WALL STREET AND THE RISE OF HITLER (Anthony C. Sutton) £6.80. A book that has aroused considerable controversy by its suggestion that Hitler had big financial backing from Wall Street. Read what he says and decide for yourself. 1976, 220pp.

WAR LORDS OF WASHINGTON (Curtis B. Dall) £2.60. In an interview with Anthony J. Hilder, Roosevelt's son-in-law exposes FDR's responsibility for Pearl Harbour. n.d., 45pp.

WEALTH, VIRTUAL WEALTH AND DEBT (Frederick Soddy) £10.00. The author, a Nobel prize-winner in chemistry, points the way to a satisfactory and beneficial financial system. A long-established classic. 1926 (Rep. 1983), 320pp.

YESTERDAY & TOMORROW £4.50. A collection of articles on 'The Tradition of National Revolution'. Featured authors include Belloc, Chesterton, Fr. Fahey and Corneliu Codreanu. 1994, 80pp.

ZIONIST CONNECTION, THE (Alfred M. Lilienthal) £13.00. The second edition of a sensational exposure by a non-Zionist Jew of Zionist power politics. A real eye-opener from an author who can't be labelled 'anti-semitic'! 1982, 904pp

ZIONIST FACTOR, THE (Ivor Benson) £10.40. New and much improved edition of a book first published in 1986. A study of the Jewish presence in 20th century history, covering such events as the Bolshevik Revolution, the creation of Israel, the fall of Rhodesia and the relationship between communism and capitalism. 1992, iii, 205pp.

ZIONIST TERROR NETWORK, THE £2.00. A 12 page report on the murderous activities in the United States of the Jewish Defence League, compiled by the Institute for Historical Review..

Southern and Midland conference plans greater efficiency

A conference of British National Party organisers from the South and Midlands was held in Milton Keynes on the 27th July. This conference was part of the new drive to step up the working efficiency of local units. The conference was called by Assistant National Organiser David King, who is now responsible for liaison between party headquarters and active units in the southern half of the United Kingdom. The conference was attended by just under 40 party officers.

David King (pictured speaking, right) chaired the meeting and gave the first talk, in which he gave the outlines of a report he had compiled for the party leadership at the end of 1995, proposing a number of projects aimed at improving the performance of the party and raising more funds. He laid particular stress on merchandising operations and a stepping up of book sales at both national and local branch level, and he called for volunteers to help in putting these projects into operation. The national leadership, he said, cannot do everything. If numerous new promotional ventures are to be adopted, the party must find more people to share the work.

BNP leader John Tyndall gave the second talk. He said that up to the present the party has not been functioning at full effectiveness because of the inadequate performance of a number of units. It was quite unacceptable, he said, that even the smaller units should



fail to buy and distribute at least 2,000 leaflets a month, while the larger ones should be able to manage a great many more. Leafleting, he said, is the key to recruitment.

Mr. Tyndall also spoke about the need for leaders of local units to have the right attitude. If their members fail to respond to their calls for activity, he said, they should look to themselves to provide more motivation and inspiration rather than blame the members in question. This, he went on,

is what leadership is all about.

A very useful discussion period followed the two talks, and one of the valuable suggestions coming out of this was that the party should regularly print 'league' tables in its newspaper showing how the various units performed in paper-sales. This, it was recommended, could be done by giving sales figures in terms of percentages of the whole.

The collection and literature sales raised a healthy £215.

How to obtain *Spearhead*

Don't miss your monthly copy of *Spearhead* — Britain's premier nationalist magazine. Becoming a subscriber guarantees that you'll keep your collection up to date with regular copies 'hot off the press.' And income from subscriptions helps to maintain *Spearhead*'s long record of frank and fearless comment on the state of Britain and the world. So subscribe today!

NAME.....

ADDRESS.....

The following rates are for 12 issues (please tick box where applicable):-

British Isles: £16.50 ☐ Overseas surface mail: £18.60 ☐ Unsealed air mail Europe: £19.66 ☐
Unsealed air mail Middle East, Africa, N & S America: £26.30 ☐ Unsealed air mail Australasia,
Far East: £27.90 ☐

PLEASE NOTE: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in pounds sterling. If remittance is in any other currency, an additional banker's charge of £4.50 is required with cheques and money orders and £2.50 with banknotes.

Discounts for bulk purchases as follows:- 10-19 copies: 80p each; 20-49 copies: 72p each; 50-99 copies: 60p each; 100-199 copies: 50p each; 200-499 copies: 43p each; 500 copies or over: 40p each (For advice on postal rates please contact our office).

All cheques and postal or money orders should be made out to *Spearhead* and sent to *Spearhead* c/o PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please do not include a payment for *Spearhead* in a remittance which includes payment for other items.

**BUILD THE BNP
READ AND SELL**

BRITISH NATIONALIST

The monthly official BNP newspaper.

Eight big pages packed with news and facts the controlled mass media don't want you to know.

The current issue focuses on the way the Old Gang parties' 'free trade' policy is going to cut wages by half, destroy the NHS and wipe out pensions. All the terrifying facts normally tucked away in specialist economic journals are presented in a straightforward account of how 'free trade' suicide will affect you.

**British Nationalist -
the Voice of Britain!**

For sample copy, bulk rates and subscription details, send 3 first class stamps or 2 IRC's to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW

Tour of the North


Spearhead staff contributor Nick Griffin spent three hectic days in August in the North of England and in Scotland. During his tour Mr. Griffin met several leading nationalists privately and spoke at two BNP meetings, one in Glasgow and one in Rossendale, Lancashire.

The Glasgow meeting was not the largest held in the city recently as it unfortunately clashed with a concert at nearby Loch Lomond by the hugely popular band Oasis! Nevertheless an enthusiastic hardcore of more than 30 activists heard an inspiring speech by Scottish Blood & Honour spokesman Stevie Cartwright and gave Nick Griffin a standing ovation after he had explained how the external circumstances of a collapsing old system means that there is still hope for a worldwide white revival, spearheaded in Britain by the BNP.

After a late-night social the next stop was Rochdale, where a well-organised re-direction system gave *Searchlight* photographer Mike Luff and his Asian minders the slip. A number of new members were joined by several old hands from the area in the comfortable meeting room used by the new Rossendale BNP group.

The meeting was very ably chaired by Chris Jackson. As well as hearing from the guest speaker the audience listened to a very polished speech from local man Roger Wood and were introduced to Andrew Wearden, prospective BNP parliamentary candidate for Rossendale and Darwen.

At both meetings there were excellent collections and an enthusiastic reception for the new issue of the *Rune*, the magazine edited by Mr. Griffin, who told *Spearhead* that he was extremely impressed by the quality of many of the BNP activists and new recruits whom he met on this tour and on a recent visit to speak to the Birmingham branch.



Glasgow BNP paper sellers in the city's East End. There are also keen teams of activists in other Scottish towns and cities, particularly Dumbarton and Edinburgh. Immigration is increasingly an issue in Glasgow and the BNP's staunch opposition to the IRA and policies for the rebuilding of Britain's shattered industries continue to attract support, particularly from young Scots sickened by the bogus nationalism of the SNP, which supports mass coloured immigration and subservience to Brussels.

Have you joined the Welling Club?

The Welling Club is a special group which exists to help raise money for the British National Party. Membership is open to all persons, whether or not BNP members, willing to contribute £20 each month to the party's funds.

Welling Club members are sent regular bulletins informing them of the progress in achieving various important BNP projects which is being made with the help of their donations. They are also entitled to attend, free of charge, an annual club dinner addressed by the BNP Chairman.

Those wishing to join the Welling Club should apply, sending their initial £20 contribution (made out to the British National Party), to PO Box 117, Welling, Kent, DA16 3DW

General Election Fund: A Good Start

The British National Party's General Election Fund, which was opened in June, now stands at a total of £1,835. This means that, in spite of having at the same time responded generously to a successful emergency appeal for funds in connection with the property in Welling, BNP members and supporters have already donated almost 20 per cent of the amount needed for the General Election Fund.

This central fund is entirely separate from locally organised appeals whose purpose is to raise money to finance specific candidates. Its purpose is to provide the finance necessary for the party to have an efficient headquarters organisation and provide it with the things it needs for the effective running of its election machine.

Among the vital items which the General Election Fund will pay for are: the production of BNP party political broadcasts on TV and radio; the cost of printing the party's Manifesto; the maintenance of additional telephone lines and the hire of mobile telephones; travelling expenses incurred by personnel touring the country and in the transporting of several million pieces of election literature to the various constituencies; special publicity projects, and much more. We are sure that all supporters will appreciate just how important it is that the BNP has the money it needs to fuel its election machine.

Since this issue of *Spearhead* will be going to press somewhat earlier in the month than usual (though still appearing at the usual time), and since more donations are coming in every day, the actual total by the end of the month will be higher than the amount announced here. So this is a good start, but it is very desirable that the Fund target of £10,000 be met early to allow us to concentrate on the actual preparations for this vital phase of the BNP's Battle for Britain. So we ask everyone to give *promptly and generously*.

Donations should be marked 'General Election Fund' and sent to: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent, DA16 3DW.

A nation in peril



The Lancashire village of Croston looks idyllic, but the shop on the left recently suffered a vicious robbery, the church has to be kept locked, and burglar alarms and 'For Sale' signs proliferate. Even in picturesque villages such as this, crime, the Banksters' swindle and competition from giant chainstores are destroying all we hold dear.

HELP STOP THE ROT

Join the British National Party

TODAY!

For more information send 50p,
or a larger donation, to:-
The Secretary
c/o P.O. Box 117,
WELLING
KENT DA16 3DW
'PHONE 0374-454893

Name.....

Address.....

.....

I enclose